## RESEARCH

**Open Access** 



Omali Y. El-khawaga<sup>1\*</sup>, Mohammed F. Al-azzawy<sup>1</sup>, Afaf M. ElSaid<sup>2</sup>, Sherif Refaat<sup>3</sup> and Aliaa N. El-Dawa<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

**Background** Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer displays several genetic mutations including epidermal growth factor receptor. This study's objective was to determine if the EGFR exon19 rs121913438 and exon21 rs121434568 variations play a role in NSCLC susceptibility.

**Methods** Case–control research was done at the Mansoura university oncology center including 124 NSCLC patients, and 124 healthy volunteers. blood was used to obtain genomic DNA. ARMS-PCR was used to genotype single-nucleotide polymorphisms.

**Results** Molecular study for EGFR exon 19 del. showed NSCLC cases were significantly associated with a higher proportion of heterozygous WD, WD + DD dominant genotypes, and mutant D allele, (p < 0.05 for each), with a risk to develop NSCLC. also, NSCLC cases were significantly associated with a higher proportion of heterozygous TG, TG + GG dominant genotype, G mutant allele, (p < 0.05 for each), with a risk to develop LC (OR > 1 for each). regarding the two EGFR mutations, TTF1 staining was significantly associated with WD + DD genotypes for EGFR exon 19 del But not EGFR exon 21. No substantial differences were found among all studied cases with CK7 or napsin A Tumor cytochemistry.

**Conclusions** The WD heterozygous genotype and D allele in exon 19 del. mutation as well as the TG heterozygous and G allele in exon 21 substitution mutation in EGFR gene are strongly associated with the development of advanced-NSCLC in the Egyptians.

Keywords EGFR gene, Lung carcinoma, Genetic mutation

#### \*Correspondence:

Omali Y. El-khawaga

elkhawaga70s@mans.edu.eg

<sup>1</sup> Biochemistry Division, Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt

<sup>2</sup> Genetic unit, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Mansoura

University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt

<sup>3</sup> Lecturer of Medical Oncology, Faculty of Medicine, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt

## Introduction

The Global Cancer Observatory (GCO) claims that over 2,200,000 new cases of cancer were registered worldwide in 2020, regardless of gender [1]. Lung cancer ranks among the most common cancers diagnosed worldwide. Based on the evolving characteristics of the most commonly diagnosed subtypes, lung cancer was the world's second-most common cancer in 2020, trailing only breast cancer [2]. Lung tumor mortality is greater than for other types of cancer, owing to late-stage detection. The disease's early stage is characterized by either a poor clinical manifestation or the occurrence of unspecific symptomatology. Almost half of those with lung cancer (46%) are diagnosed when the cancer has already metastasized to other areas



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

of the body, which makes the diagnostic procedure difficult and the treatment more difficult [3]. Therefore, there is an urgent need to discover a highly sensitive and specific biomarker in order to diagnose non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients at an early stage of the disease process. Special attention is paid to genetic mutations as tumor markers, which can be detected in easily accessible biological fluids, because they can be useful for screening and early diagnosis of cancer (even before its clinical manifestation) as well as corroboration of standard diagnostic methods. EGFR-receptor tyrosine kinases (RTK) play an essential role in initiating and triggering signaling events in both NSCLC and SCLC lung cancer subtypes [4]. EGFR is located on chromosome 7 short arm q22 and spans 110 kb of DNA, which is divided into 28 exons [5]. Normal cells have 40,000-100,000 EGFR receptors for each cell, whereas cancer cells exclusively express more than one million receptors for each cell [6]. EGF modulates its own receptor by expanding EGFR RNA expression via ETF (EGFR-specific transcription factor) expression [7]. EGFR genetic mutations occur at point mutation "hotspots" in the extracellular domain, the kinase domain, and the C-terminal tail [8]. Certain cancers appear to prefer specific mutation sites. For illustration, NSCLCs (nonsmall cell lung cancer) almost always seem to have kinase domain mutations [8, 9]. EGFR is indeed abundantly expressed in 40 to 80% of NSCLC. The vast majority of EGFR mutations or truncations encourage constitutive EGFR activation by sustaining ligand-independent dimerization at ERBB family receptors [10, 11]. The occurrence of gene mutations in NSCLC varies greatly by ethnicity. (EGFR)-accessing mutations are found in 10-20% of Caucasians, with at least 50% of them being NSCLC patients [12–14]. Two mutations, the deletions that were discovered in exon 19, which affect the conserved Long-range epigenetically active (LREA) domain motif, and the single amino acid substitution L858R through exon 21 at codon 858 (Leucine to Arginine; L858R), are referred to as "classical" EGFR mutations since they account for 85 percent of identified gene mutations in NSCLC and confer responsiveness to EGFR kinase inhibitors (EGFRi) [15].

To our knowledge, there is currently no report in Egypt assessing the impact of EGFR classical mutations on advanced NSCLC by case–control research, which is preferable to cross-sectional studies in respect of risk factor evaluation. This observation-based study was conducted in an Egyptian population of NSCLC patients and matched controls to assess the significance of EGFR mutations in susceptibility to NSCLC in consideration of confounding factors such as gender and age differences between healthy and NSCLC patients, as well as immuno-histochemical tumor markers and histopathological sub-types between EGFRmut and EGFR<sup>wt</sup> in NSCLC patients.

## Materials and methods Participants

One Hundred Twenty-four patients with primary NSCLC were admitted to Mansoura University Oncology Center from October 2021 to May 2022, together with 124 ageand sex-matched healthy control individuals. In brief, the data obtained from the medical records of each patient included demographics (age and sex). Patients' clinical information was staged at the time of diagnosis following the tumor/node/metastasis staging system of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) tumor/node/metastasis staging system. Patients who took part in the study were classified by the WHO [16]. The dispersion of histological subtypes for NSCLC among the 124 patients was classified into 3 main types: adenocarcinomas, large-cell carcinomas, squamous-cell carcinomas, and miscellaneous-type lesions. The Eigh<sup>th</sup> Version of TNM Staging of Lung Carcinoma used a combined pathological grading system for tumor tissues to classify patients [17]. Matched healthy controls would be recruited according to the absence of both clinical manifestations and a family history suggesting NSCLC cancer. Participants were excluded from other intestinal diseases or other parts of the original tumor. No one in the control group was a smoker or had a history of any interfering disease or chronic use of any drugs.

All patients in this study underwent a comprehensive clinical examination, which included imaging tests using x-rays, magnetic fields or sound waves. TNM, tumor histopathology, stage, and IHC include TTF1, Napsin A, and CK7. In the laboratory, molecular examinations for genetic changes and CEA tumor marker levels were carried out. All patients and control volunteers signed informed written consent forms. The patients' privacy was preserved by assigning code numbers to each of them.2.2. Blood sampling.

Samples were gathered by taking 5 ml of blood from all patients and controls, either preserved on blank tubes to investigate CEA tumor marker levels or on sterile ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA) for analysis of EGFR gene polymorphisms. All samples were obtained and then stored at -20 °C prior to the procedure, and they have been left to be put at room temperature to be used in DNA extraction. Then, samples were analyzed by the PCR technique, followed by gel electrophoresis, to detect gene polymorphisms for EGFR.

## DNA extraction and genotyping

The Easy Pure<sup>®</sup> Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Cat. No. EE101) was used to extract genomic DNA from peripheral blood leukocytes [18]. The purified DNA was used immediately in PCR application. Evaluation of EGFR

exon19 (rs121913438) and exon21 (rs121434568) polymorphisms was done using an amplification refractory mutation system-polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The primers to be used are listed in Table 1.

## Ampilification of geomic DNA by ARMS-PCR

The optimization of amplification was performed under the conditions listed in Table 2.

ARMS-PCR was used for the detection of EGFR exon 19 (rs121913438) and exon 21 (rs121434568) according to the method [19]. Each rs121913438 PCR mixture had a total volume of 30  $\mu$ l. Each tube contained 6  $\mu$ l of external primers and 15  $\mu$ l of master mix, which was mixed well with 3  $\mu$ l of DNA, 3  $\mu$ l of forwarding mutant (FM), and reverse normal (RN). The procedure rendered three bands (internal control at 444 bp, WW (wild genotype) at 134 bp and DD (mutant genotype) at 325 bp). For rs121454568, two tubes were used for every subject. Each PCR reaction mixture was performed in an overall volume of 24  $\mu$ l including 4  $\mu$ l of forwarding control (FC), 4  $\mu$ l of reverse normal primer (RN) or 4  $\mu$ l of reverse mutant primer (RM), and 12  $\mu$ l of

master mix (COSMO PCR RED Master Mix (W10203001), willow fort) in an Eppendorf Gradients Thermal cycle. The procedure rendered two bands (TT (wild genotype) at 199 bp and GG (mutant genotype) at 196 bp). Samples were amplified using a T-professional thermocycler (Biometra, Germany). The PCR products were electrophoresed on a 2.5% agarose gel that was stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under UV light.

## Analysis of CEA serum level

Serum levels of CEA were detected in NSCLC patients using ELISA kits according to the manufacturer's protocols (catalog no. EHCEA; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). Finally, the serum concentrations of CEA were measured using an enzyme microplate reader at 450 nm.

#### Statistical analysis

The data collected were analyzed and lobulated using the SPSS software package (IBM Corp., 2017. windows

Table 1 Primer pairs used for screening of EGFR exon19 rs121913438, exon21 rs121434568 mutation by ARMS-PCR

Mutation	Primer sequence	Size (bp)
EGFR rs121913438	Common F (FC): 5`- GTAAATCCACCCAGATCACTG -3` Common R (RC): 5`- GTGTCAAGAAACTAGTGCTGGG -3`	444 bp
(Exon 19)	FM: 5'- GTTGGCTTTCGGAGATGTTTTGATAG -3` RN: 5'- CCCGTCGCTATCAAGGAATTAA -3'	134 bp 325 bp
EGFR rs121434568	FC: 5`—TGACCCTGAATTCGGATGCA -3` RN: 5`- TTCCGCACCCAGCAGTTTGGCTA -3`	199 bp
Exon 21)	FC: 5`—TGACCCTGAATTCGGATGCA -3` RM: 5`- CGCACCCAGCAGTTTGGTT -3`	196 bp

**Table 2** Optimization of PCR condition for EGFR (exon19) and (exon 21)

VARIANT NAME	Cycle name	Temperature °C	Time	Number of Cycles
EGFR (Exon 19)	Initial denatura- tion	95	5 min	1
rs121913438	Denaturation	95	35 s	35
	Annealing	65	35 s	
	Extension	72	40 s	
	Final extension	72	5 min	1
	Soak	4	~	1
EGFR (Exon 21)	Initial denatura- tion	95	5 min	1
rs121434568	Denaturation	94.5	40 s	35
	Annealing	61.5	40 s	
	Extension	72	50 s	
	Final extension	72	5 min	1
	Soak	4	~	1

SPSS Statistics, Version 25.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). In terms of the research population's demographic and clinical features, categorical variables such as gender are reported as frequencies with percentages. 95% confidence interval and odd ratio (OR) conferred by potential correlations regarding the EGFR gene polymorphisms with the risk and progression of NSCLC The probability level (P) of less than 0.05 was defined as a criterion of significance. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) for the two different SNPs was calculated by goodness-of-fit between the observed and expected genotype frequencies.

## Results

The current study represents an observational study of 124 control volunteers and 124 non-relevant patients with NSCLC. The Hardy-Weinberg equation revealed that all studied genotypes in the control group as well as in NSCLC cases were in HW equilibrium, as no significant

differences were found between observed and expected counts in each group. Patients included 74 males representing the majority of cases and 50 females. The patients 'ages ranged with a mean ( $\pm$  SD) of 56.0 ( $\pm$  11.5) years. The control volunteers were 124 healthy individuals including 78 males and 46 females. The controls' mean age ( $\pm$  SD) was 55.4 ( $\pm$  11.0) years. As shown in Table 3, both patients and healthy controls appeared to be matched in terms of age (*P*=0.272) and gender (*P*=0.602).

Among the 124 patients studied, 98 (79.0%) had adenocarcinomas, 12 (9.7%) had large cell carcinomas, 8 (6.5%) had squamous cell carcinomas, and 6 (4.8%) had other subtypes of NSCLC. According to the stage grade, 77 patients (64.2%) were in the third grade, 41 (34.2%) were in the second grade, and only 2 (1.79%) were in the first grade. According to the Eighth Edition of TNM Staging of Lung Cancer [16], patients were classified into a combined pathological system for tumor tissues, into stages I, II, III, and IV. 94 cases (75.8%) were in the IV stage, while 25 patients (20.2%) were in the III stage. The percentage of positive TTF1 staining was 51.6%, CK7 was positive at 71.0%, and napsin A was positive at 33.9%.

# Distribution of EGFR rs121913438 gene polymorphism in Controls compared to NSCLC patients

The genotyping study was performed on 124 patients with NSCLC and 124 healthy controls. Both genotype distributions of the rs121913438 of EGFR in the two groups were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. As shown in

Table 3	Comparison	of age and	gender	among	studied	groups
---------	------------	------------	--------	-------	---------	--------

	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Contr} \\ n = 12 \end{array}$	ol 24	Cases n=12	24	Ρ
Age (years) mean ± SD	55.4	11.0	56.0	11.5	0.272
Males n (%)	78	62.9%	74	59.7%	0.602
Females n (%)	46	37.1%	50	40.3%	

SD standard deviation; Numerical data are expressed as mean and SD

Table 4         Association of EGFR rs121913438 genotype and alleles with risk of NSCLC susceptible	oility
---	--------

Table 4, a deviation in genotype distribution was observed for EGFR genotype polymorphisms when comparing NSCLC patients and healthy volunteers. Concerning the rs121913438 polymorphic genotype, the WD heterozygous genotype was found in 43 NSCLC patients (34.7%), which is more than the 22 (17.7%) controls. The DD homozygous genotype was observed in only 2 (1.6%) of the NSCLC patients and none of the healthy controls. while the WW homozygous genotype was lower in patient 79 (63.7%) than in the control 102 (82.3%). The WD genotype increased the chance of NSCLC (odds ratio [OR], 1.794; confidence interval [CI], 95% (1.246-2.583); probability (P = 0.002)) compared to the WW genotype (wild type). In comparison to the W allele (wild type), the D allele, which represents 47 (19%) of NSCLC and 22 (8.9%) of healthy volunteers, was linked with a higher incidence of NSCLC (OR, 1.764; [CI] 95%, (1.239–2.510); *P* = 0.002) (Fig. 1).

## Distribution of EGFR (exon 21) rs121434568 gene polymorphism in Controls compared to NSCLC patients:

In both NSCLC and healthy control groups, the genotype distribution of the rs121434568 polymorphism of EGFR was in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. As shown in Table 5, a statistically significant difference was found between NSCLC and controls. The TG heterozygous genotype was identified in 38 NSCLC patients (30.6%), which was higher than in 15 healthy controls (12.1%). Also, the GG homozygous genotype was found in NSCLC patients with 5 (4%) but not in the control group. while the TT homozygous genotype was lower in 81 patients (65.3%) than in 109 control subjects (87.9%). The TG genotype in patients shows a highly significant difference from controls (odds ratio [OR], 2.104; [CI], 95% (1.406-3.147); *P* < 0.001), increasing the risk of NSCLC in comparison with the TT genotype (wild type). The G allele, which represents 48 (19.4%) of NSCLC cases and 15 (6.0%) of controls, was associated with an increased risk of NSCLC (OR, 2.125; [CI] (1.460-3.091); P < 0.001) when compared to the T allele (wild type) (Fig. 2).

EGFR exon 19 del		Control n=124		NSCLC patients $n = 124$		Р	OR	(95% CI)
	N	%	n	%				
Genotype	ww	102	82.3	79	63.7	Wild type		
	WD	22	17.7	43	34.7	0.002	1.794	1.246-2.583
	DD	0	0	2	1.6	1		
	WD + DD	11	17.7	45	36.3	0.001	1.830	1.275–2.626
Allele	w	226	91.1	201	81	Wild type		
	D	22	8.9	47	19	0.002	1.764	1.239–2.510

P probability

*p* < 0.05 is significant; Odds ratio [OR]; Confidence interval [CI], Logistic regression analysis was used



**Fig. 1** Tetra-ARMS PCR electrophoretic pattern of the EGFR (rs121913438) product, where each lane represents one participant. M stands for DNA marker (100 bp). The internal control is shown by the 444 bp band. Based on the primer, specific 325 bp bands represent the mutant (D) allele, and specific 134 bp bands represent the wild (W) allele. WD heterozygous is represented by lanes 1, 2, 3, and 5. Lane 4 indicates mutant homozygous, where the W allele is absent from the lane and the D allele is present at 325 bp. Lane 6 represents wild-type homozygosity, with the W allele appearing at 134 bp and the D allele absent

EGFR exon 21 (T>G)		Control $n = 124$		NSCLC patient $n = 124$		Р	OR	(95% CI)
	n	%	n	%				
Genotype	тт	109	87.9	81	65.3	Wild type		
	TG	15	12.1	38	30.6	< 0.001	2.104	(1.406 -3.147)
	GG	0	0	5	4	1		
	TG + GG	15	12.1	43	31	< 0.001	2.301	(1.555–3.405)
Allele	т	233	94.0	200	80.6	Wild type		
	G	15	6.0	48	19.4	< 0.001	2.125	(1.460 -3.091)

 Table 5
 Association of EGFR rs121434568 genotype and alleles with risk of NSCLC susceptibility

P probability

p < 0.05 is significant; Odds ratio [OR]; Confidence interval [Cl], Logistic regression analysis was used

## Association of EGFR genotype polymorphisms with other parameters

Numerous variables were investigated with regard to the EGFR mutation studied. As shown in Table 6, the overall frequency of the EGFR exon 19 deletion mutation found in adenocarcinomas was 84.4% (38 of 45), followed by 13.3% (6 of 45) of large cell lung cancer and 2.2% (1 of 45) of squamous cell lung cancer. Two-sided x tests revealed no significant association between the histology subtype (p = 0.081) or grade (p = 0.456) and the existence of the EGFR exon 19 mutations. With respect to the TNM staging of all NSCLC patients, there was no relation between EGFR exon 19 rs121913438 and stage (p = 0.150). The tumor cytochemistry markers TTF1, CK7, and napsin A were investigated in the studied patient cases. TTF1 staining was significantly associated with WD+DD genotypes (p = 0.015) in comparison to WW genotyping. while CK7 and napsin A were found to have no significant association with this variant.

As shown in Table 7, the EGFR (exon 21) rs121434568 polymorphism yields different results for histopathological classification. The overall frequency of the exon 21 mutation was found in 88.4% (38 of 43) of adenocarcinomas and 11.6% (5 of 43) of large-cell lung cancer. The TT genotype was significantly associated with squamous cell carcinoma (p=0.049) when compared to the TG+GG genotype. Otherwise, no significant association was found regarding EGFR exon 21 and tumor pathology among all studied cases. There was no significant association between tissue grade and tumor stage (p > 0.05). No significant relationship was found between the tumor cytochemistry (TTF1, CK7, and napsin A) and rs121434568.

The tumor marker CEA level was investigated for all patients. As shown in Table 8, no significant association was found regarding EGFR exon 19 del. (p = 0.423) or exon 21 (p = 0.863) with CEA level among all studied cases.



**Fig. 2** Individual ARMS PCR electrophoretic pattern of the EGFR gene (rs121434568) product, with each lane representing a different participant. M stands for DNA marker (100 bp). Depending on the primer, specific 199 bp bands represent the T allele, and specific 196 bp bands represent the G allele. The TG heterozygous genotyping is represented by lanes 3, 4, 7, and 8. where lanes 3 and 7 represent the T allele band and lanes 4 and 8 represent the G allele. Lanes 1, 2, 5, and 6 indicate TT homozygosity; whereas the G allele is absent from lanes 2 and 6, the T allele is present on lanes 1 and 5. Lanes 9 and 10 are GG homozygous, with the G allele appearing at 196 bp on lane 10 and the T allele absent from lane 9

EGFR exon 19		Wild gen	Wild genotype		enotype	Р
del rs121913438		(WW)		WD + DD		
		n	%	n	%	
Pathology	adenocarcinoma	60	75.9%	38	84.4%	0.081
	large cell carcinoma	6	7.6%	6	13.3%	
	squamous cell	7	8.9%	1	2.2%	
	Others	6	7.6%	0	0.0%	
Grade	1	1	1.3%	1	2.2%	0.456
	2	23	30.7%	18	40.0%	
	3	51	68.0%	26	57.8%	
Stage	I	2	2.5%	0	0.0%	0.150
	II	2	2.5%	1	2.2%	
	Ш	20	25.3%	5	11.1%	
	IV	55	69.6%	39	86.7%	
Tumor	TTF1	34	43.0%	30	66.7%	0.015
cytochemistry	СК7	53	67.1%	35	77.8%	0.403
	napsin A	27	34.2%	15	33.3%	0.874

## Table 6 Association of EGFR exon 19 del with studied parameter

P probability

p < 0.05 is significant

## Discussion

Numerous human cancers expressed EGFR, which has been linked to tumor progression and grade, as well as a poor cancer prognosis [20]. The EGFR signaling pathway was activated in more than half of NSCLC patients and was important in cancer cell proliferation and invasion [21]. This study for genetic diagnosis is based on an association study using polymorphic markers to detect carriers among unrelated patients and control subjects for exon 19 of EGFR and the mutation of exon 21 (L858R), which are the most common EGFR mutations. In the current investigation, we examined the association between two SNPs in the EGFR gene (rs121913438 and rs121434568)

EGFR (exon 21)		Wild gen	Wild genotype		enotype	Р
rs121434568		TT		TG + GG		
		n	%	n	%	
Pathology	Adenocarcinoma	60	74.1%	38	88.4%	0.063
	large cell carcinoma	7	8.6%	5	11.6%	0.751
	squamous cell	8	9.9%	0	0.0%	0.049
	Others	6	7.4%	0	0.0%	0.092
Grade	1	0	0.0%	2	4.7%	0.241
	2	27	35.1%	14	32.6%	
	3	50	64.9%	27	62.8%	
Stage	I	2	2.5%	0	0.0%	0.448
	II	2	2.5%	1	2.3%	
	Ш	19	23.5%	6	14.0%	
	IV	58	71.6%	36	83.7%	
Tumor	TTF1	37	45.7%	27	62.8%	0.070
cytochemistry	СК7	54	66.7%	34	79.1%	0.148
	napsin A	25	30.9%	17	39.5%	0.332

Table 7 Association of EGFR exon 21 del with studied parameter

**Table 8** Association of CEA level with EGFR exon 19 del and exon 21 with among all studied cases

CEA ng/ml		Median	range	р
EGFR exon 19	ww	6 ng/ml	1-1500	0.423
	WD + DD	7 ng/ml	1-217	
EGFR exon 21	TT	6 ng/ml	1-1500	0.863
	TG + GG	6 ng/ml	1–239	

and NSCLC risk. Regarding EGFR exon 19 in-frame deletion, WW was considered the reference genotype, and W was considered the ancestral allele. From the result, we found that LC cases were significantly associated with a higher proportion of WD, DD genotype, and D allele (P <0.05 for each) and an increased risk of developing LC (OR > 1 for each). Regarding the EGFR exon 21 missense variant, TT was considered the reference genotype, and T was considered the ancestral allele. If T>G conversion occurs in the population, there will be a highly significant (P < 0.001) relationship between GG, TG genotype, G allele, and NSCLC, with an odd ratio greater than 1. The present case-control research investigates that the EGFR deletion mutation of exon 19 and the point mutation of exon 21 are disproportionately distributed between NSCLC and controls in Egyptians. So, there has been a powerful link between EGFR gene classical mutations and the chance to get NSCLC. To understand the procarcinogenic role of rs121434568 and rs121913438 SNPs, it is valuable to decipher the EGFR role in the issue of cancer. In this regard, Structural studies have revealed that L858R and exon 19Del. destabilize the inactive conformation by sustaining ligand-independent dimerization to ERBB family receptors, even in absence of EGF, leading to increased receptor dimerization and promote a constitutively activated form of EGFR, compared to EGFR<sup>WT</sup> [22, 23]. To our knowledge, this is the first casecontrol genotype correlation of those variants in Egyptian that can be used as single-nucleotide biomarkers in genetic analysis to help predict disease.

The incidence of EGFR mutations in NSCLC is dependent on tumor type and ethnic background [10]. From previous studies in Japan, it is frequently seen, especially in adenocarcinomas [24]. These results are inconsistent with our findings, as there was no association between the EGFR exon 19 deletion mutation and any NSCLC histopathology subtype. But squamous cell carcinoma cases showed no mutation for the EGFR exon 21 genotype. The last point of view coincides with squamous carcinoma genomic atlas which shows that EGFR is seldom mutated SQC in lung [25]. Another previous study in the Lebanese population demonstrated a significant correlation between EGFR mutations and well-differentiated tumor pathology [26]. An increase in the incidence of adenocarcinoma has been observed in Japan. Considering the higher probability of EGFR mutation in the Japanese population, one may assume that the overall incidence of EGFR<sup>mut</sup> in NSCLC is also increasing [27].

TTF1 is a marker currently used in routine clinical practice to distinguish lung cancer metastases [28]. TTF1's role in lung pathology and differential diagnosis is well documented because it primarily exists in enhanced surface-active substances and Clara cell secretory protein region binding sites that can maintain lung cancer cell activity [29], but its prognostic value, particularly for patients with advanced-stage lung cancer with an EGFR genetic mutation, has received less attention. The percentage of positive TTF1 expression reported in our study (51.6%) was found to be significant with the EGFR exon 19 deletion. This result is in accordance with previously reported studies [28, 30]. Earlier, Sun et al. [29] reported that TTF-1 had a strong correlation with EGFR mutations.

According to research papers, serum tumor markers (STMs) could indeed assist in the detection of clinically suspected cancer as well as cancer with an unknown primary site. STMs, such as carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), are routinely employed for NSCLC screening and recurrence evaluation and have been linked to prognostic variables such as a higher TNM stage [31]. These findings raise the chance of a link between CEA levels and EGFR genetic variations. According to Sordella et al., the mutated EGFR gene can abnormally power up the downstream signal transduction pathway and further encourage transcription factor expression and activation, establishing the antiapoptotic pathway and accelerating cell proliferation, both of which have significant implications in lung tumorigenesis [32]. According to Jin et al., the EGFR mutation rate increased with CEA serum levels in Chinese patients with ADC [33]. However, in all cases studied, there was no significant relationship between EGFR exon 19 or exon 21 genetic variants and CEA levels.

In conclusion, our results Confirm that the EGFR deletion mutation in exon 19 and T > G substitution mutation in exon 21 polymorphisms are associated with susceptibility to advanced NSCLC in the Egyptians. Upcoming research utilizing a larger sample size of individuals and the study of the whole genome sequence are critical requirements for more accurate diagnosis of mutations and essential for therapeutic considerations and genetic guidance that contribute to a better chance of survival in the Egyptian population.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Egypt for providing some of the facilities required during this study. We would like to thank the Oncology center Mansoura University, Egypt for providing the facilities required during this study.

#### Institutional review board statement

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Medical Research Ethics Committee Institutional Review Board- Mansoura Faculty of Medicine - Mansoura University (MS.21.10.1721, Date: 13/12/2021).

### Author contributions

Conceptualization: M.F.A and A.N.E. Methodology: M.F.A and A.N.E. Investigation: O.E., Collection of samoles and Data analysis: S.R., A.M.E Supervision: O.E., S.R., A.M.E Writing—original draft: M.F.A and A.N.E. Writing—review and editing: all authors read and approved the final article.

#### Funding

Open access funding provided by The Science, Technology & Innovation Funding Authority (STDF) in cooperation with The Egyptian Knowledge Bank (EKB). This research received no external funding from funding agencies in the community, commercial, or non-profit sectors.

#### Availability of data and materials

The data provided in this study are accessible upon request from the corresponding author. Because of local law restrictions, the data is not publicly available.

#### Declarations

#### **Consent for publication**

Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study. Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received: 28 July 2023 Accepted: 15 November 2023 Published online: 27 November 2023

#### References

- Ferlay. J, Colombet. M, Soerjomataram. I, Donald M. Parkin, Piñeros. M, Znao. A. Cancer statistics for the year 2020: An overview, Int. J. Cancer 2021, https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.33588.
- Cao W, Da Chen HYW, Yu Li N, Chen WQ. Changing profiles of cancer burden worldwide and in China: a secondary analysis of the global cancer statistics 2020. Chinese Med J. 2021;134(7):783–91 https://doi.org/ 10.1097/CM9.00000000001474.
- Ettinger D, Douglas E. NCCN guidelines insights: non-small cell lung cancer, version 1.2020: featured updates to the NCCN guide-lines. J Natl Compr Cancer Netw. 2019;17(12):1464–72. https://doi.org/10.6004/jnccn. 2019.0059.
- Tony SM, Yi-Long W, Sumitra T, Chih-Hsin Y, Da-Tong C, Nagahiro S, Patrapim S, Baohui H, Benjamin M, Yukito I, Yutaka N, Yuichiro O. Gefitinib or carboplatin–paclitaxel in pulmonary adenocarcinoma. N Eng J Med. 2009;361(10):947–57. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0810699.
- Wee P, Wang Z. Epidermal growth factor receptor cell proliferation signaling pathways. Cancers (Basel). 2017;9(5):1–45. https://doi.org/10.3390/ cancers9050052.
- Zimmermann M, Zouhair A, Azria D, Ozsahin M. The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) in head and neck cancer: Its role and treatment implications. Radiat Oncology. 2006;1(1):1–6. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1748-717X-1-11.
- Mirhosseini SA, Sarfi M, Samavarchi Tehrani S, Mirazakhani M, Maniati M, Amani J. Modulation of cancer cell signaling by long noncoding RNAs. J Cell Biochem. 2019;120(8):12224–46.
- Pines G, Köstler WJ, Yarden Y. Oncogenic mutant forms of EGFR: lessons in signal transduction and targets for cancer therapy. FEBS Lett. 2010;584(12):2699–706.
- Lee JC, Vivanco I, Beroukhim R, Huang J, Others. Epidermal growth factor receptor activation in glioblastoma through novel missense mutations in the extra-cellular domain. PLoS Med. 2006;3(12):e485. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pmed.0030485.
- Red Brewer M, Yun C-H, Lai D, Lemmon MA, Eck MJ, Pao W. Mechanism for activation of mutated epidermal growth factor receptors in lung cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2013;110(38):E3595–604. https://doi.org/10. 1073/pnas.122005011.
- 11. Endres NF, Barros T, Cantor AJ, Kuriyan J. Emerging concepts in the regulation of the EGF receptor and other receptor tyrosine kinases. Trends

Biochem Sci. 2014;39(10):437–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2014.08. 001.

- Shi Y, Siu-KieAu J, Thongprasert S, others. A prospective, molecular epidemiology study of EGFR mutations in Asian patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer of adenocarcinoma histology (PIONEER). J Thor Oncol. 2014;9(2):154–62. https://doi.org/10.1097/JTO.000000000 000033.
- Rosell R, Teresa M, Porta R, Queralt C, others. Screening for epidermal growth factor receptor mutations in lung cancer. N Eng J Med. 2009;361(10):958–67. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0904554.
- D'Angelo S, Pietanza C, Johnson M, Riely G, Miller V, Sima C, Zakowski M, Rusch V, Ladanyi M, Kris M. Incidence of EGFR Exon 19 deletions and 1858R in tumor specimens from men and cigarette smokers with lung adenocarcinomas. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29(15):2066–70. https://doi.org/10. 1200/JCO.2010.32.6181.
- Gazdar AF. Activating and resistance mutations of EGFR in non-small-cell lung cancer: role in clinical response to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Oncogene. 2009;28(1):524–31.
- Duma N, Santana-Davila R, Molina JR. Non-small cell lung cancer: epidemiology, screening, diagnosis, and treatment. Mayo Clin Proc. 2019;94(8):1623–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2019.01.013.
- 17. Lababede O, Meziane MA. The Eighth Edition of TNM Staging of Lung Cancer: Reference Chart and Diagrams. Oncologist. 2018;23(7):844–8.
- 18. Naomi A., Website www.transgenbiotech.com 2011.
- Youssef MM, El-saeed AM, Mohamed MM. Gene expression of epidermal growth factor receptor gene (egfr) in egyptian women with uterine. World J Pharm Pharm Sci. 2019;8(10):63–80. https://doi.org/10.20959/ wjpps201910-14764.
- Hashmi A, Hussain Z, Aijaz S, Irfan M, others. Immunohistochemical expression of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) in South Asian head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: Association with various risk factors and clinico-pathologic and prognostic parameters. World J Surg Oncol. 2018;16(1):1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12957-018-1425-3.
- Sheng M, Wang F, Zhao Y, Li S, Wang X, Shou T, Luo Y, Tang W. Comparison of clinical outcomes of patients with non-small-cell lung cancer harbouring epidermal growth factor receptor exon 19 or exon 21 mutations after tyrosine kinase inhibitors treatment: A meta-analysis. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2016;72(1):1–11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00228-015-1966-0.
- Shan Y, Eastwood MP, Zhang X, Kim ET, Arkhipov A, Dror RO, Jumper J, Kuriyan J, Shaw DE. Oncogenic mutations counteract intrinsic disorder in the EGFR kinase and promote receptor dimerization. Cell. 2012;149(4):860–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.02.063.
- Bircan. S, Baloglu. H, Kucukodaci. Z, and Bircan. A. EGFR and KRAS mutations in Turkish non-small cell lung cancer patients: A pilot study. Med Oncol. 2014; 31(8), https://doi.org/10.1007/s12032-014-0087-4.
- Tanaka T, Matsuoka M, Sutani M, Gemma A, Maemondo M, Inoue A, Okinaga S, Nagashima M, others. Frequency of and variables associated with the EGFR mutation and its subtypes. Int J Cancer. 2010;126(3):651–5. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.24746.
- Govindan R, Hammerman PS, Hayes DN, Wilkerson MD, Baylin S, Meyerson M. Comprehensive genomic characterization of squamous cell carcinoma of the lung. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30(15):7006–7006. https://doi. org/10.1200/jco.2012.30.15\_suppl.7006.
- Fakhruddin N, Mahfouz R, Farhat F, Tfayli A, Abdelkhalik R, Jabbour M, Yehia L, Mahfoud Z, Zaatari G. Epidermal growth factor receptor and KRAS mutations in lung adenocarcinoma: A retrospective study of the Lebanese population. Oncol Rep. 2014;32(5):2223–9. https://doi.org/10. 3892/or.2014.3406.
- Kosaka T, Yatabe Y, Endoh H, Kuwano H, Takahashi T, Mitsudomi T. Mutations of the epidermal growth factor receptor gene in lung cancer: Biological and clinical implications. Can Res. 2004;64(24):8919–23. https:// doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-2818.
- Zablockis R, Žurauskas E, Danila E, Gruslys V. Prognostic value of thyroid transcription factor-1 expression in patients with advanced lung adenocarcinoma. In Vivo (Brooklyn). 2018;32(6):1571–9. https://doi.org/10. 21873/invivo.11416.
- Sun P, Seol H, others. High incidence of EGFR mutations in Korean men smokers with no intratumoral heterogeneity of lung adenocarcinomas: Correlation with histologic subtypes, EGFR/TTF-1 expressions, and clinical features. J Thor Oncol. 2012;7(2):323–30. https://doi.org/10.1097/JTO. 0b013e3182381515.

- Haval B, Fong KM, others. Prevention and Early Detection for NSCLC: Advances in Thoracic Oncology 2018. J Thor Oncol. 2019;14(9):1513–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2019.06.011.
- Cho A, Hur J, Moon Y. Correlation between EGFR gene mutation, cytologic tumor markers, 18F-FDG uptake in non-small cell lung cancer. BMC Cancer. 2016;16(1):1–8. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-016-2251-z.
- Sordella R, Bell BD, Haber DA, Settleman J. Gefitinib-Sensitizing EGFR Mutations in Lung Cancer Activate Anti-Apoptotic Pathways. Science. 2004;305(5687):1163–7. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1101637.
- Jin B, Dong Y, Wang HM, Huang JS, Han BH. Correlation between serum CEA levels and EGFR mutations in Chinese nonsmokers with lung adenocarcinoma. Acta Pharmacol Sin. 2014;35(3):373–80. https://doi.org/10. 1038/aps.2013.164.

### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

