

COMMENTARY

Open Access



CrossMark

Summarized data of genotoxicity tests for designated food additives in Japan

Masami Yamada^{1,2*} and Masamitsu Honma²

Abstract

The Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare has carried out genotoxicity tests for food additives used in Japan in cooperation with the Japan Food Additives Association since 1979. Hayashi et al. summarized these data and published a list of 337 designated additives (Shitei-tenkabutsu in Japanese) with genotoxicity test data in 2000. Thereafter, 29 items were eliminated, and 146 items were newly added. Currently, 454 designated additives are allowed to be used as food additives in Japan. This report, based on the Hayashi report, covers the addition of newly derived genotoxicity test data. Routinely, the bacterial reverse mutation test (Ames test), mammalian cell chromosomal aberration test, and in vivo rodent bone marrow micronucleus test have been used for the evaluation of genotoxicity of food additives. In addition to the data from these tests being updated in this report, it newly includes results of transgenic rodent somatic and germ cell gene mutation assays (TGR assays), incorporated in the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) test guidelines after 2000. We re-evaluated the genotoxicity of 13 designated food additives considering their TGR data.

Keywords: Food additives, Designated additives, Genotoxicity test, Ames test, Transgenic rodent gene mutation assay

Background

Since 1979, as part of the safety reassessment of food additives, the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (MHLW; prior to January 2001, the Ministry of Health and Welfare) has carried out mutagenicity tests annually in cooperation with the Japan Food Additives Association. In 2000, Dr. M. Hayashi (former Head of Division of Genetics and Mutagenesis at the National Institute of Health Sciences (NIHS)) and colleagues summarized the mutagenicity data for 337 designated additives, 187 existing additives (natural additives), 49 natural fragrances, and seven general food and drink additives from fiscal year (FY) 1979 to FY1998 [1] (hereafter referred to as the “Hayashi report”). Since then, concerning designated additives, 29 items have been eliminated due to abolition of form classification or for other reasons (Table 1), and 146 items have been newly added (Table 2). In this report, which is based on the Hayashi report, data on newly tested items have been added, and

mutagenicity data for a total of 454 designated food additives is summarized in Table 3.

How the data were summarized

The following set of three tests has traditionally been used to evaluate mutagenicity of food additives: reverse mutation assay (Ames test) using bacteria; chromosomal aberration test using cell culture (CA); and micronucleus test using mice (MN). The Hayashi report summarized the data from the results of these three tests. Two new tests suitable for the evaluation of food additives were subsequently added in the OECD Genotoxicity Test Guidelines. The two adopted test guidelines are: “Genetic mutation test using transgenic rodent somatic and germ cells (TG 488)” (the TGR test); and “In vivo mammalian alkaline comet assay (TG 489)” (the comet test). Results using these assays are included in this paper (Table 3). While both tests have advantages for the evaluation of genotoxicity in specific tissues, the TGR test is intended as a mutagenicity test (similar to the Ames test) therefore the weighting of TGR results is generally higher due to its high correlation with carcinogenicity.

* Correspondence: m-yamada@nda.ac.jp

¹Department of Applied Chemistry, National Defense Academy, 1-10-20, Hashirimizu, Yokosuka-shi, Kanagawa 239-8686, Japan

²Division of Genetics and Mutagenesis, National Institute of Health Sciences, 3-25-26, Tonomachi, Kawasaki-ku, Kawasaki-shi, Kanagawa 210-9501, Japan



Table 1 List of designated food additives eliminated after 2000 (As of October 6, 2016)

Name	Date	Reason
Aluminum Potassium Sulfate (dried) (syn: Burnt Alum)	June 30, 2000	Integrated into "Aluminum Potassium Sulfate"
Ferrous Pyrophosphate	June 30, 2000	Distribution and usage records have not been confirmed
Sodium Sulfite (anhydrous)	June 30, 2000	Integrated into "Sodium Sulfite"
Tetrasodium Pyrophosphate (anhydrous)	June 30, 2000	Integrated into "Tetrasodium Pyrophosphate"
Aluminum Ammonium Sulfate (dried) (syn: Burnt Ammonium Alum)	June 30, 2000	Integrated into "Aluminum Ammonium Sulfate"
Disodium Hydrogen Phosphate (anhydrous) (Disodium Phosphate (anhydrous))	June 30, 2000	Integrated into "Disodium Hydrogen Phosphate"
Sodium Dihydrogen Phosphate (anhydrous) (Monosodium Phosphate (anhydrous))	June 30, 2000	Integrated into "Sodium Dihydrogen Phosphate"
Tertiary Sodium Phosphate (anhydrous)	June 30, 2000	Integrated into "Tertiary Sodium Phosphate"
Choline phosphate	June 30, 2000	Distribution and usage records have not been confirmed
Methyl O-Acetylricinoleate	June 30, 2000	Distribution and usage records have not been confirmed
Citric Acid (anhydrous)	June 30, 2000	Integrated into "Citric Acid"
Ferrous Sulfate (dried)	June 30, 2000	Integrated into "Ferrous Sulfate"
Sodium Acetate (anhydrous)	June 30, 2000	Integrated into "Sodium Acetate"
Sodium Hydroxide (crystal)	June 30, 2000	Integrated into "Sodium Hydroxide"
Sodium Carbonate (crystal)	June 30, 2000	Integrated into "Sodium Carbonate"
Sodium Starch Phosphate	June 4, 2009	Production and usage have not been confirmed

The date and the reasons for disappearance are indicated

When searching for items that had not been subjected to mutagenicity testing, it was felt desirable that all test outcomes were discovered without exception. Thus, in Table 3, data from journals other than Hayashi report are included; for example, data published in the Annual Report of the Tokyo Metropolitan Research Laboratory of Public Health (originally published in Japanese). Reports that were surveyed, including journals other than Hayashi report, are listed at the end of this paper. Results of the three tests used initially are mainly copied from the Hayashi report (also published in Japanese). Data reevaluated or added after the Hayashi report include results from outsourced testing laboratories accredited by the MHLW as part of the "Projects for safety of food additives."

In the main Table, the superscript symbols "^{H22}" and "^{H23}" indicate results commissioned in tests conducted in Fiscal Year 2010 (FY2010) and FY2011, respectively. Test results from the Risk Assessment Reports prepared by the Food Safety Commission (FSC) are also included; these are indicated by superscript "^{FSC}", and the URL for the Risk Assessment Reports of the item is given in the reference list. Note that reference numbers in the text are not given in numeric order but in the order of appearance in Table 3. The eliminated and newly added substances are listed in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. In Table 3, the item numbers from Table 2 are shown underlined.

Commentary for 13 items newly subjected to the TGR test

1) Five items positive for Ames and chromosome aberration tests while negative for in vivo micronucleus test

Sodium nitrite (No. 6 in Table 3)

Ames testing was performed at the highest dose of 10 mg/plate using TA1535, TA98, TA1537, TA94, TA92 in FY1979, and positive results were obtained with TA100 and TA1535 regardless of S9mix [2]. Subsequently, using TA97 and TA102, a statistically significant increase in the number of revertants (maximum dose 10 mg/plate) was reported in both strains regardless of S9mix [3]. However, the result is given as negative in Table 3 since the number of reverted colonies did not reach twice of the number for the negative control. Many positive results of Ames tests were reported in this item, thus, mutagenicity was suspected for this substance [4].

For chromosomal abnormalities, the chromosome aberration test using Chinese Hamster lung (CHL) was performed at a maximum dose of 1.0 mg/mL without S9mix, and strong induction of structural abnormalities was reported [2]. Subsequently, in vivo bone marrow micronucleus tests using ddY mice were carried out under three conditions: a single intraperitoneal (i.p.) dose of 200 mg/kg body weight; four i.p. doses at 50 mg/kg body weight at 24-h intervals; and a single oral dose of 400 mg/kg body weight [5], all results being reported as negative.

Table 2 List of items that have been added to the designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016)

No. ^a	Name
2	chlorous acid water
4	nitrous oxide
8	calcium L-ascorbate
9	L-ascorbic acid 2-glucoside
13	asparaginase
16	acesulfame potassium
17	acetylated distarch adipate
18	acetylated oxidized starch
19	acetylated distarch phosphate
20	acetaldehyde
24	sodium selenite pentahydrate
25	azoxystrobin
26	advantame
28	β -apo-8'-carotenal
29	(3-amino-3-carboxypropyl) dimethylsulfonium chloride
30	amylalcohol
35	ammonium alginate
36	potassium alginate
37	calcium alginate
44	ammonium isovalerate
46	ion exchange resin
47	isoamylalcohol
51	isoquinoline
54	isovaleraldehyde
55	isobutanol
56	isobutyraldehyde (isobutanal)
57	isopropanol
58	isopentylamine
67	mixture of 2-ethyl-3,5-dimethylpyrazine and 2-ethyl-3,6-dimethylpyrazine
69	2-ethylpyrazine
70	3-ethylpyridine
71	2-ethyl-3-methylpyrazine
72	2-ethyl-5-methylpyrazine
73	2-ethyl-6-methylpyrazine
74	5-ethyl-2-methylpyridine
77	ethers
89	octanoic acid
91	starch sodium octenyl succinate
94	peracetic acid
102	canthaxanthin
106	xylitol (alias xylit)
110	triethyl citrate
113	sodium ferrous citrate (sodium iron citrate)

Table 2 List of items that have been added to the designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016) (Continued)

No. ^a	Name
127	sodium gluconate
128	glutamyl-valyl-glycine
130	monoammonium L-glutamate
132	monocalcium <i>d</i> -L-glutamate
134	monomagnesium <i>d</i> -L-glutamate
135	calcium silicate
136	magnesium silicate
140	ketones
150	calcium acetate
156	starch acetate
165	calcium saccharin
168	calcium oxide
169	oxidized starch
172	hypochlorous acid water
174	hypobromous acid water
176	2,3-diethylpyrazine
177	2,3-diethyl-5-methylpyrazine
189	fatty acids
191	aliphatic higher aldehydes (except those generally recognized as highly toxic)
192	aliphatic higher hydrocarbons (except those generally recognized as highly toxic)
193	2,3-dimethylpyrazine
194	2,5-dimethylpyrazine
195	2,6-dimethylpyrazine
196	2,6-dimethylpyridine
201	potassium <i>d</i> -tartrate (potassium hydrogen <i>d</i> -tartrate or potassium hydrogen <i>d</i> -tartrate)
203	disodium <i>d</i> -tartrate (disodium <i>d</i> -tartrate)
226	magnesium hydroxide
227	sucralose (trichlorogalactosucrose)
228	calcium stearate
229	magnesium stearate
231	sodium stearoyl lactylate
236	calcium sorbate
248	thiamine thiocyanate (vitamin B1 thiocyanate)
251	thioethers (except those generally recognized as highly toxic)
252	thiols (thioalcohols) (except those generally recognized as highly toxic)
258	5,6,7,8-tetrahydroquinoxaline
259	2,3,5,6-tetramethylpyrazine
262	terpene hydrocarbons
268	all-racemic α -tocopheryl acetate
269	R,R,R α -tocopheryl acetate

Table 2 List of items that have been added to the designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016) (Continued)

No. ^a	Name
272	trimethylamine
273	2,3,5-trimethylpyrazine
276	nisin
277	natamycin
284	carbon dioxide (carbonic acid, gas)
287	potassium lactate
291	neotame
303	valeraldehyde
306	biotin
308	bisbentiamine (benzoylthiamine disulfide)
311	1-hydroxyethylidene-1, 1-diphosphonic acid
313	hydroxycitronellal dimethylacetal
314	hydroxypropyl distarch phosphate
315	hydroxypropyl cellulose
316	hydroxypropyl starch
317	hydroxypropyl methylcellulose
318	piperidine
321	sunflower lecithin
323	pyrazine
325	pyrimethanil
328	pyrrolidine
334	pyrrole
339	2-(3-phenylpropyl) pyridine
340	phenethylamine
341	phenol ethers (except those generally recognized as highly toxic)
342	phenols (except those generally recognized as highly toxic)
343	ferrocyanides (potassium ferrocyanide (potassium hexacyanoferrate (ii)), calcium ferrocyanide (calcium hexacyanoferrate (ii)), sodium ferrocyanide (sodium hexacyanoferrate (ii)))
344	butanol
345	butylamine
346	butyraldehyde
350	fludioxonil
352	propanol
353	propionaldehyde
369	2-pentanol (syn: sec-amylalcohol)
370	trans-2-pentenal
371	1-penten-3-ol
372	aromatic alcohols
373	aromatic aldehydes (except those generally recognized as highly toxic)
377	polysorbate 20

Table 2 List of items that have been added to the designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016) (Continued)

No. ^a	Name
378	polysorbate 60
379	polysorbate 65
380	polysorbate 80
381	polyvinylpyrrolidone
382	polyvinylpolypyrrolidone
394	5-methylquinoxaline
395	6-methylquinoline
396	6,7-dihydro-5-methyl-5 h-cyclopentapyrazine
398	1-methylnaphthalene
400	2-methylpyrazine
401	2-methylbutanol
402	3-methyl-2-butanol
403	2-methylbutyraldehyde
404	trans-2-methyl-2-butenal, (e)-2-methyl-2-butenal
405	3-methyl-2-butenal
406	3-methyl-2-butanol
417	Lactones (except those generally recognized as highly toxic)
422	calcium 5'-ribonucleotide
431	potassium sulfate
439	distarch phosphate
440	monostarch phosphate
443	trimagnesium phosphate (syn: Magnesium phosphate, tribasic)
452	magnesium monohydrogen phosphate
454	phosphated distarch phosphate

^anumbers are consistent with those underlined in Table 3

In FY2009, TGR testing using *gpt* delta mice was performed in the liver and glandular stomach for confirmation of *in vivo* mutagenicity. These organs were selected because the liver metabolizes many substances and is highly sensitive in this assay, and the glandular stomach is the organ first exposed to the substance under test with oral administration. The mutagenicity that was previously observed in the Ames test did not occur *in vivo*, since the TGR results were negative in both organs after 28 days of administration via drinking water at a maximum dose of 5000 mg/kg body weight [H21(FY2009)].

L-Cysteine monohydrochloride (No. 179 in Table 3)

Ames testing was carried out using TA100, TA98, TA2637, TA94, at a maximum dose of 10 mg/plate with or without S9mix in FY1982. Positive results were reported for TA100 with S9mix, and for TA2637 with and without S9mix [6]. Chromosomal aberration tests were

Table 3 List of the results in genotoxicity tests for the 454 designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016)

No. ^a	Name	Functional classes	CAS#	Molecular weight	Genotoxicity tests			Remarks
					Ames	CA	TGR	
1	zinc salts (lithium to zinc gluconate and zinc sulfate)	Dietary supplement		- ⁽¹³⁾	-H23 c			
2^b	chlorous acid water	Sterilizer	13898-47-0	68.45	+ ^{FSC1 d}	+ ^{FSC1}		
3^[20]	sodium chlorite	Bleaching agent etc.	7758-19-2	90.44	+ ⁽²⁾⁽¹²⁾	+ ⁽²⁾	+ ⁽⁹⁾	
4⁻	nitrous oxide	Propellant	10024-97-2	44.01	- ^{FSC2}			
5	adipic acid	Acidifier	124-04-9	146.14	- ⁽¹⁵⁾	-H22		
6	sodium nitrite	Color fixative	7632-00-0	69.00	+ ⁽¹⁾	+ ⁽¹⁶⁾	+ ⁽⁹⁾	-H21
								Target organs for TG in mice: liver, stomach (glandular stomach)
7	L-ascorbic acid (vitamin C)	Antioxidant etc.	50-81-7	176.12	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁰⁾		- ⁽¹⁾	
8⁻	calcium L-ascorbate	Dietary supplement etc.	5743-28-2	426.35	- ^{FSC3}			
9⁻	L-ascorbic acid 2-glucoside	Antioxidant etc.	129499-78-1	338.26	- ^{H26}			
10	L-ascorbic stearate (vitamin C stearate)	Antioxidant etc.	25395-66-8	442.59	- ⁽⁴⁾⁽¹⁶⁾	- ⁽⁴⁾	- ⁽⁴⁾	
11	sodium L-ascorbate (vitamin C sodium)	Antioxidant etc.	134-03-2	198.11	- ^{H20}			
12	L-ascorbic palmitate (vitamin C palmitate)	Antioxidant etc.	137-66-6	414.53	- ⁽¹⁷⁾	-H22		
13⁻	asparaginase	Processing agent	9015-68-3		- ^{FSC5}	- ^{FSC5}		
14	monosodium L-aspartate	Seasoning etc.	3792-50-5	173.10	- ⁽³⁾⁽¹⁷⁾	- ⁽³⁾		
15	aspartame (α -L-aspartyl-L-phenylalanine methyl ester)	Sweetener	22839-47-0	294.30	- ⁽¹⁶⁾	-H23		
16^{[21], [22]}	acesulfame potassium	Sweetener etc.	55589-62-3	201.24	- ⁽²¹⁾	- ⁽²²⁾		
17⁻	acetylated distarch adipate	Thickening agents etc.	68130-14-3					Evaluated as modified starch
18⁻	acetylated oxidized starch	Thickening agents etc.	68187-08-6					Evaluated as modified starch
19⁻	acetylated distarch phosphate	Thickening agents etc.	-					Evaluated as modified starch
20⁻	acetaldehyde	Flavoring agent etc.	75-07-0					
21	ethyl acetoacetate	Flavoring agent	141-97-9	130.14	- ⁽³⁾⁽¹²⁾	- ⁽³⁾		
22	acetophenone	Flavoring agent	98-86-2	120.15	- ⁽¹²⁾			
23	acetone	Processing agent	67-64-1	58.08	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁷⁾	+ ⁽¹⁾		
24⁻	sodium selenite pentahydrate	Dietary supplement	10102-18-8	172.94	+ ^{FSC7}	+ ^{FSC7}		
25⁻	azoxystrobin	Preservative etc.	131860-33-8	403.4	- ^{FSC8}	- ^{FSC8}		

Table 3 List of the results in genotoxicity tests for the 454 designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016) (Continued)

No. ^a	Name	Functional classes	CAS#	Molecular weight	Genotoxicity tests			Remarks
					Ames	CA	TGR	Others
26	advantame	Sweetener	714229-20-6	476.52	-FSC9			
27	anisaldehyde (p-methoxybenzaldehyde)	Flavoring agent	123-11-5	136.15	- (2)(12)	- (2)		
28	β -apo-8'-carotene	Food color	1107-26-2	416.64	-FSC10			
29	(3-amino-3-carboxypropyl) dimethylsulfonium chloride	Flavoring agent	3493-12-7	199.7	-H21	-H21		
30	amyl alcohol	Flavoring agent	71-41-0	88.15	-H16			
31	α -amylcinnamaldehyde (α -amylcinnamic aldehyde)	Flavoring agent	122-40-7	202.29	- (12)	-H24		
32	d-alanine	Seasoning etc.	302-72-7	89.09	- (3)(17)	- (3)		
33	sodium sulfite	Preservative etc.	7757-83-7	126.04	- (1)(12)	- (1)		
								Crystalline form and anhydrous form were used for tests in (1) and (12), respectively.
34	L-arginine L- γ -glutamate	Seasoning etc.	4320-30-3	321.33	- (4)(20)	- (4)		
35	ammonium alginate	Emulsifier etc.	9005-34-9		-FSC11			
36	potassium alginate	Emulsifier etc.	9005-36-1		-FSC12			
37	calcium alginate	Emulsifier etc.	9005-35-0					See compounds with different salt
38	sodium alginate	Thickening agents	9005-38-3		- (2)(8)(18)	- (2)		
39	propylene glycol alginate	Thickening agents			- (2)(13)	- (2)		
40	benzoic acid	Preservative	65-85-0	122.12	- (2)(11)	- (2)		
41	sodium benzoate	Preservative	532-32-1	144.10	- (1)(19)	+ (1)		
42	methyl anthranilate	Flavoring agent	134-20-3	151.16	- (12)	+H22		
43	ammonia	Processing agent	7664-41-7	17.03	- (18)			
44	ammonium isovalerate	Flavoring agent	1449430-58-3	323.43	-H17			
45	ionone	Flavoring agent	8013-90-9	192.30	- (20)	-H24		
								Mixture of α and β -ionone were used for the assay
46	ion exchange resin	Processing agent						
		Flavoring agent	123-51-3	88.15	-H16	-H16		
47	isoamyl alcohol	Flavoring agent	97-54-1	164.20	- (12)	-H23		
48	isoeugenol	Flavoring agent	659-70-1	172.26	- (3)(12)	- (3)		
49	isoamyl isovalerate	Flavoring agent	108-64-5	130.18	- (3)(12)	- (3)		
50	ethyl isovalerate	Flavoring agent	119-65-3	129.16	+H18	-H21		
51	isoquinoline							

Table 3 List of the results in genotoxicity tests for the 454 designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016) (Continued)

No. ^a	Name	Functional classes	CAS#	Molecular weight	Genotoxicity tests	Remarks
					Ames CA MN TGR Others	
52	isothiocyanates (except those generally recognized as highly toxic) [24]	Flavoring agent	542-85-8	- ⁽¹⁹⁾	- ⁽¹²⁾	Ethyl thiocyanate was used for the assay.
53	allyl isothiocyanate (volatile oil of mustard)	Flavoring agent	57-06-7	99.16	+ ^{H22}	
54	isovaleraldehyde	Flavoring agent	590-86-3	86.13	-FSC13	
55	isobutanol	Flavoring agent	78-83-1	74.12	-FSC14	-H17
56	isobutyraldehyde (isobutanal)	Flavoring agent	78-84-2	72.11	-FSC15	-H16
57	isopropanol	Processing agent etc.	67-63-0	60.10	-FSC16	-FSC15
58	isopentylamine	Flavoring agent	107-85-7	87.16	-H18	-H16
59	L-isoleucine [25]	Dietary supplement	73-32-5	131.17	- ⁽³⁾⁽¹⁵⁾	- ⁽³⁾
60	disodium 5'-inosinate (sodium 5'-inosinate)	Seasoning etc.	4691-65-0	392.17	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁷⁾	+ ⁽¹⁾
61	imazalil	Antimolding agent	35554-44-0	297.18	- ⁽²⁰⁾	
62	indoles and its derivatives	Flavoring agent	120-72-9 (Indole)	- ⁽¹⁹⁾	-H26	-H23
63	disodium 5'-uridylylate (sodium 5'-uridylate)	Seasoning etc.	3387-36-8	368.15	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁷⁾	+ ⁽¹⁾
64	γ-undecalactone (undecalactone)	Flavoring agent	104-67-6	184.28	- ⁽³⁾⁽¹²⁾	- ⁽³⁾
65	ester gum	Chewing gum base			- ⁽²⁾	- ⁽²⁾
66	esters	Flavoring agent			- ⁽²⁾ or ⁽⁴⁾	- ⁽²⁾ or ⁽⁴⁾
						Cinnamyl anthranilate, ethyl caprylate, allyl caproate, ethyl caproate were included.
67	mixture of 2-ethyl-3,5-dimethylpyrazine and 2-ethyl-3,6-dimethylpyrazine	Flavoring agent	55031-15-7	136.20	-FSC17	
68	ethylvanillin	Flavoring agent	121-32-4	166.17	- ⁽²⁾⁽¹²⁾	- ⁽²⁾
69	2-ethylpyrazine	Flavoring agent	13925-00-3	108.14	+ ^{H16}	
70	3-ethylpyridine	Flavoring agent	536-78-7	107.15	+ ^{H16}	-H17
71	2-ethyl-3-methylpyrazine	Flavoring agent	15707-23-0	122.17	-H16	-H16
72	2-ethyl-5-methylpyrazine	Flavoring agent	13360-64-0	122.17	-H16	-H16
73	2-ethyl-6-methylpyrazine	Flavoring agent	13925-03-6	122.17	-H17	-H17
74	5-ethyl-2-methylpyridine	Flavoring agent	104-90-5	121.18	-FSC18	
75	calcium disodium ethylenediaminetetraacetate (calcium disodium edta)	Antioxidant	62-33-9	410.30	- ⁽¹³⁾	- ^{H22}

Table 3 List of the results in genotoxicity tests for the 454 designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016) (Continued)

No. ^a	Name	Functional classes	CAS#	Molecular weight	Genotoxicity tests			Remarks	
					Ames	CA	MN	TGR	Others
76	disodium ethylenediaminetetraacetate (disodium, EDTA)	Antioxidant	6381-92-6	372.24	- ⁽¹³⁾				
77	ethers	Flavoring agent							
78	erythorbic acid (isoascorbic acid)	Antioxidant	89-65-6	176.12	+ ⁽²⁾	- ⁽¹⁷⁾	- ⁽⁹⁾	- ^{H21}	
									Target organs for TGR in mice: liver, stomach (glandular stomach)
79	sodium erythorbate (sodium isoascorbate)	Antioxidant	6381-77-7	216.12	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁴⁾		- ⁽¹⁾		
80	ergocaliferol (calciferol or vitamin D2)	Dietary supplement	50-14-6	396.65	- ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁰⁾		- ⁽¹⁾		
81	ammonium chloride	Raising agent	12125-02-9	53.49	- ⁽²⁾⁽¹⁶⁾	+ ⁽²⁾	- ⁽⁹⁾		
82	potassium chloride	Seasoning	7447-40-7	74.55	- ⁽¹⁷⁾		- ^{H23}		
83	calcium chloride	Tofu coagulator etc.	10043-52-4	110.98	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹²⁾		- ⁽¹⁾		
84	ferric chloride	Dietary supplement	10025-77-1	270.29	- ⁽⁴⁾⁽¹⁵⁾		- ⁽⁴⁾		
85	magnesium chloride	Tofu coagulator etc.	7791-18-6	203.30	- ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽¹⁸⁾		- ⁽⁴⁾		
86	hydrochloric acid	Processing agent	7647-01-0	36.46	- ⁽¹⁶⁾				
87	eugenol	Flavoring agent	97-53-0	164.20	- ⁽²⁾⁽¹⁴⁾	+ ⁽²⁾	- ⁽⁹⁾		
88	octanal (cetyl aldehyde or octyl aldehyde)	Flavoring agent	124-13-0	128.21	- ⁽¹⁴⁾	- ^{H22}			
89	octanoic acid	Flavoring agent	124-07-2			- ^{FSC19}			
90	ethyl octanoate (ethyl caprylate)	Flavoring agent	106-32-1	172.26	- ⁽¹⁴⁾	- ^{H25}			
91	starch sodium octenyl succinate	Thickening agents etc.	-			- ^{FSC20}			
92	<i>o</i> -phenylphenol and sodium <i>o</i> -phenylphenate	Antimolding agent	90-43-7	170.21		- ⁽¹⁾			<i>o</i> -phenylphenol was negative in CA
93	sodium oleate	Film-forming agent	143-19-1	304.44		- ⁽¹⁴⁾			
94	peracetic acid	Preservative etc.	79-21-0		+ ^{FSC21}	+ ^{FSC21}	- ^{FSC21}		
95	hydrogen peroxide	Sterilizer	7722-84-1	34.01	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁶⁾	+ ⁽¹⁾	- ^{H21}		
96	benzoyl peroxide	Flour treatment agent	94-36-0	242.23	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹²⁾		- ⁽¹⁾		
97	sodium caseinate	Processing agent	9005-46-3		- ⁽⁵⁾⁽¹⁸⁾		- ⁽⁵⁾		
98	ammonium persulfate	Flour treatment agent	7727-54-0	228.20	- ⁽²⁾⁽¹²⁾		- ⁽²⁾		
99	calcium carboxymethylcellulose (calcium cellulose glycolate)	Thickening agents	9050-04-8		- ⁽⁴⁾		- ⁽⁴⁾		
100	sodium carboxymethylcellulose (sodium cellulose glycolate)	Thickening agents	9004-32-4		- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹³⁾		- ⁽¹⁾		

Table 3 List of the results in genotoxicity tests for the 454 designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016) (Continued)

No. ^a	Name	Functional classes	CAS#	Molecular weight	Genotoxicity tests	Remarks			
					Ames	CA	MN	TGR	Others
101	β-carotene	Food color etc.	7235-40-7	536.88	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹³⁾	± ⁽¹⁾			
102	canthaxanthin	Food color	514-78-3	504.82	-FSC22	-FSC22			
103	'isoamy'l formate	Flavoring agent	110-45-2	116.16	- ⁽³⁾⁽¹⁶⁾	- ⁽³⁾			
104	geranyl formate	Flavoring agent	105-86-2	182.26	- ⁽¹⁴⁾	-H24			
105	citronellyl formate	Flavoring agent	105-85-1		- ⁽²⁰⁾	-H24			
106	xylitol	Sweetener	87-99-0	152.15	- ⁽²³⁾				
107	disodium 5'-guanylate (sodium 5'-guanylate)	Flavoring agent etc.	5550-12-9	407.18	- ⁽³⁾⁽¹⁷⁾	- ⁽³⁾			
108	citric acid	Acidifier	77-92-9	192.12	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁵⁾	- ⁽¹⁾			
109	isopropyl citrate	Antioxidant	39413-05-3		- ⁽¹³⁾				
110	triethyl citrate	Sweetener	77-93-0	276.28	-H26	-H26			
111	monopotassium citrate and tripotassium citrate	Flavoring agent etc.	866-83-1	230.21	- ⁽¹⁷⁾	- ⁽⁸⁾ -H25			
112	calcium citrate	Dietary supplement etc.	813-94-5	570.49	- ⁽⁵⁾⁽¹³⁾	- ⁽⁵⁾			
113	sodium ferrous citrate (sodium iron citrate)	Dietary supplement	50717-86-7	526.01	-H22	+H22			
114	fernic citrate	Dietary supplement	77-92-9	192.12	- ⁽⁴⁾⁽¹⁵⁾	- ⁽⁴⁾			
115	ferric ammonium citrate	Dietary supplement	1185-57-5		- ⁽⁴⁾⁽¹⁵⁾	- ⁽⁴⁾			
116	trisodium citrate (sodium citrate)	Acidifier	68-04-2	258.07	- ⁽¹⁷⁾	- ⁽¹⁾			
117	glycine	Seasoning etc.	56-40-6	75.07	- ⁽³⁾⁽¹⁹⁾	- ⁽³⁾			
118	glycerol (glycerin)	Processing agent	56-81-5	92.09	- ⁽²⁾⁽¹⁹⁾	- ⁽²⁾			
119	glycerol esters of fatty acids	Emulsifier			- ⁽¹⁾	- ⁽¹⁾			
120	calcium glycerophosphate	Dietary supplement	27214-00-2	210.14	- ⁽²⁾⁽¹²⁾	- ⁽²⁾			
121	disodium glycyrrhizinate	Sweetener	68797-35-3	899.11	- ⁽¹⁾	+ ⁽¹⁾	- ⁽⁹⁾		
122	glucono-delta-lactone (gluconolactone)	Acidifier	90-80-2	178.14	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁵⁾	- ⁽¹⁾			
123	gluconic acid	Acidifier	526-95-4	196.16	- ⁽⁴⁾⁽¹⁵⁾	- ⁽⁴⁾			

Table 3 List of the results in genotoxicity tests for the 454 designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016) (Continued)

No. ^a	Name	Functional classes	CAS#	Molecular weight	Genotoxicity tests	Remarks	
				Ames	CA	TGR	Others
124	potassium gluconate	Acidifier	299-27-4	234.25	- ⁽⁸⁾		Rec assay: - ⁽⁸⁾
125	calcium gluconate	Dietary supplement	299-28-5	448.39	- ⁽⁵⁾⁽¹²⁾	- ⁽⁵⁾	
126	ferrous gluconate (iron gluconate)	Dietary supplement etc.	299-29-6	446.14	- ⁽¹⁹⁾	+ ^{H25}	
<u>127</u>	sodium gluconate	Emulsifier etc.	527-07-1	218.14			See substrates with different salt
<u>128</u>	glutamyl-l-valyl-glycine	Seasoning	38837-70-6	303.31	- ^{FSC23}		
129	L-glutamic acid	Seasoning	56-86-0	147.13	- ⁽⁵⁾⁽¹⁹⁾	- ⁽⁵⁾	
<u>130</u>	monoammonium L-glutamate	Seasoning etc.	7558-63-6	182.18	- ^{FSC24}		Rec assay: - ^{FSC24}
131	monopotassium L-glutamate	Seasoning etc.	6382-01-0	203.23	- ⁽¹⁷⁾	- ^{H25}	
<u>132</u>	monocalcium di-L-glutamate	Dietary supplement etc.	69704-19-4	404.38			See substrate with different salt
133	monosodium L-glutamate	Seasoning etc.	6106-04-3	187.13	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁹⁾	- ⁽¹⁾	
<u>134</u>	monomagnesium di-L-glutamate	Dietary supplement etc.	129160-51-6	388.61			See substrate with different salt
<u>135</u>	calcium silicate	Processing agent	38837-70-6		- ^{FSC25}		
<u>136</u>	magnesium silicate	Processing agent	1343-88-0		- ^{FSC26}		
137	cinnamic acid	Flavoring agent	140-10-3	148.16	- ⁽¹⁴⁾	- ^{H23}	
138	ethyl cinnamate	Flavoring agent	103-36-6	176.21	- ⁽²⁾⁽¹⁴⁾	- ⁽²⁾	
139	methyl cinnamate	Flavoring agent	103-26-4	162.19	- ⁽¹⁴⁾	+ ^{H23}	
<u>140</u>	ketones	Flavoring agent	106-24-1	154.25	- ⁽²⁾⁽¹⁴⁾	- ⁽²⁾	
141	geraniol	Flavoring agent bleaching agent etc.			+ ⁽³⁾	- ⁽²⁰⁾	+ ⁽³⁾
142	high test hypochlorite				- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁵⁾	- ⁽¹⁾	- ⁽⁴⁾
143	succinic acid	Acidifier etc.	110-15-6	118.09	- ⁽¹⁹⁾		- ^{H23-H26}
144	monosodium succinate	Seasoning etc.	2922-54-5	140.07	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁹⁾		+ ⁽¹⁾
145	disodium succinate	Seasoning etc.	150-90-3	162.05	- ⁽³⁾⁽²⁰⁾		
146	cholecalciferol (vitamin D3)	Dietary supplement	67-97-0	384.64	- ⁽³⁾⁽²⁰⁾	- ⁽³⁾	
147	sodium chondroitin sulfate	Humectant etc.	12678-07-8		- ⁽³⁾⁽¹⁴⁾	- ⁽³⁾	
148	isoamyl acetate	Flavoring agent	123-92-2	130.18	- ⁽³⁾⁽¹⁴⁾	- ⁽³⁾	
149	ethyl acetate	Flavoring agent etc.	141-78-6	88.11	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁶⁾	+ ⁽¹⁾	
<u>150</u>	calcium acetate	Dietary supplement etc.	62-54-4	158.17			See substrates with different salt

Table 3 List of the results in genotoxicity tests for the 454 designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016) (Continued)

No. ^a	Name	CAS#	Functional classes	Molecular weight	Ames	CA	MN	TGR	Others	Remarks
151	geranyl acetate		Flavoring agent	105–87-3	196.29	–(14)	–H24			
152	cyclohexyl acetate		Flavoring agent	622–45-7	142.20	–(14)	–H25			
153	citronellyl acetate		Flavoring agent	150–84-5	198.30	–(14)	–H24			
154	cinnamyl acetate		Flavoring agent	103–54-8	176.21	–(14)	+H24			
155	terpinyl acetate		Flavoring agent	8007–35-0	196.29	–(14)	–H24			
156	starch acetate		Thickening agents etc.	9045–28-7		–FSC20	–FSC20			
157	sodium acetate		Acidifier etc.	127–09-3	82.03	–(2)(18)	–(2)			
										Crystalline form was used in (2), and anhydrous form was used in (18).
158	polyvinyl acetate		Chewing gum base etc.			–(3)(14)	–(3)			
159	phenethyl acetate (phenylethyl acetate)		Flavoring agent	103–45-7	164.20	–(15)	–H24			
160	butyl acetate		Flavoring agent	123–86-4	116.16	–(3)(15)	–(3)			
161	benzyl acetate		Flavoring agent	140–11-4	150.17	–(4)(15)	–(4)			
162	1-methyl acetate		Flavoring agent	2623–23-6	198.30	–(15)	–H22			
163	linalyl acetate		Flavoring agent	115–95-7	196.29	–(15)	–H23			
164	saccharin		Sweetener	81–07-2	183.19	–(2)(14)	–(2)			
165	calcium saccharin		Sweetener	6381–91-5	467.48	–FSC27				
166	sodium saccharin (soluble saccharin) [27]		Sweetener	128–44-9	205.17	–(1)(11)	–(1)			
167	methyl salicylate		Flavoring agent	119–36-8	152.15	–(2)(15)	–(2)			
168	calcium oxide		Processing agent	1305–78-8	56.08	–FSC28				
169	oxidized starch		Thickening agents etc.	–		–FSC20	–FSC20			
170	magnesium oxide		Absorbent etc.	1309–48-4	40.30	–(13)	–H22			
171	iron sesquioxide (dilron trioxide or iron oxide red) [28]		Food color	1309–37-1	159.69	–(4)(6)(19)	–(4)	–(8)		
172	hypochlorous acid water		Preservative			–H6				
173	sodium hypochlorite (hypochlorite of soda)		Sterilizer etc.	7681–52-9	74.44	+ ⁽¹⁾ –(12)	+ ⁽¹⁾	–(9)		
174	hypobromous acid water		Sterilizer	13517–11-8	96.91	–FSC29	–FSC29			
175	sodium hydrosulfite (hydrosulfite)		bleaching agent etc.	7775–14-6	174.11	–(2)(12)	–(2)			
176	2,3-diethylpyrazine		Flavoring agent	15707–24-1	136.19	–H15	+H15			
177	2,3-diethyl-5-methylpyrazine		Flavoring agent	18138–04-0	150.22	–H17	–H18			
										5,5-Dimethylhydantoin was used for the assays.

Table 3 List of the results in genotoxicity tests for the 454 designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016) (Continued)

No. ^a	Name	Functional classes	CAS#	Molecular weight	Genotoxicity tests			Remarks
					Ames	CA	TGR	Others
178	allyl cyclohexylpropionate	Flavoring agent	2705-87-5	196.29	- ⁽¹⁵⁾	-H22	-	
179	L-cystein monohydrochloride	Antioxidant etc.	7048-04-6	175.64	+ ⁽⁴⁾⁽¹⁴⁾	+ ⁽⁴⁾	- ⁽⁹⁾	- ^{H21}
180	disodium 5'-cytidylate (sodium 5'-cytidylate)	Seasoning	6757-06-8	367.16	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁹⁾	+ ⁽¹⁾	-	Target organs for TGR in mice: liver, stomach (glandular stomach)
181	citral	Flavoring agent	5392-40-5	152.23	- ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽¹⁴⁾	- ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	-	
182	citronellal	Flavoring agent	106-23-0	154.25	- ⁽¹⁵⁾	- ⁽⁵⁾	-	⁽⁸⁾
183	citronellol	Flavoring agent	106-22-9	156.27	- ⁽¹⁵⁾	-H22	-	
184	1,8-cineole (eucalyptol)	Flavoring agent	470-82-6	154.25	- ⁽¹⁵⁾	-H22	-	
185	diphenyl (biphenyl) [29]	Antimolding agent	92-52-4	154.21	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁰⁾	- ⁽¹⁾	-	
186	butylated hydroxytoluene	Antioxidant	128-37-0	220.35	- ⁽¹⁰⁾	- ⁽¹⁾	-	
187	dibenzoyl thiamine	Dietary supplement	299-88-7	490.58	- ⁽⁵⁾⁽¹²⁾	- ⁽⁵⁾	-	
188	dibenzoyl thiamine hydrochloride	Dietary supplement	35660-60-7	527.04	- ⁽¹⁾ -H26	- ⁽¹⁾ + ^{H26}	-	
189	fatty acids	Flavoring agent			- ⁽¹⁴⁾	-	-	
190	aliphatic higher alcohols	Flavoring agent			-	-	-	
191	aliphatic higher aldehydes (except those generally recognized as highly toxic)	Flavoring agent			-	-	-	
192	aliphatic higher hydrocarbons (except those generally recognized as highly toxic)	Flavoring agent			-	-	-	
193	2,3-dimethylpyrazine	Flavoring agent	5910-89-4	108.14	-FSC30	-H16	-	
194	2,5-dimethylpyrazine	Flavoring agent	123-32-0	108.14	-FSC31	-H16	-	
195	2,6-dimethylpyrazine	Flavoring agent	108-50-9	108.14	-FSC32	-H16	-	
196	2,6-dimethylpyridine	Flavoring agent	108-48-5	107.15	-FSC33	-H18	-	
197	oxalic acid	Processing agent	6153-56-6	126.07	- ⁽³⁾⁽¹³⁾	- ⁽³⁾	-	
198	potassium bromate	Flour treatment agent	7758-01-2	167.00	+ ⁽¹⁾ - ⁽¹²⁾	+ ⁽¹⁾	+ ⁽⁹⁾	
199	dL-tartaric acid (dL-tartaric acid)	Acidifier	133-37-9	150.09	- ⁽¹⁵⁾	-H23	-	
200	L-tartaric acid (dL-tartaric acid)	Acidifier	87-69-4	150.09	- ⁽²⁾⁽¹⁵⁾	- ⁽²⁾	-	Identical to d-tartaric acid
201	potassium dL-bitartrate (potassium hydrogen dL-tartrate or potassium hydrogen DL-tartrate)	Processing agent etc.			-H22	-H22	-	
202	potassium L-bitartrate (potassium hydrogen L-tartrate or potassium	Raising agent	868-14-4	188.18	- ⁽²⁾⁽¹⁹⁾	- ⁽²⁾	-	Identical to potassium hydrogen dL-tartrate

Table 3 List of the results in genotoxicity tests for the 454 designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016) (Continued)

No. ^a	Name	CAS#	Functional classes	Molecular weight	Genotoxicity tests	Remarks		
				Ames	CA	MN	TGR	Others
203	hydrogen d'-tartrate)		Processing agent etc.					
204	disodium dL-tartrate (disodium dL-tartrate)	6106-24-7	Seasoning	194.05	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁹⁾	+ ⁽¹⁾	- ⁽⁹⁾	Identical to disodium d-tartrate
205	potassium nitrate	7757-79-1	Fermentation regulator etc.	101.10	- ⁽⁴⁾⁽¹³⁾	- ⁽⁴⁾		
206	sodium nitrate	7631-99-4	Fermentation regulator etc.	84.99	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹³⁾	+ ⁽¹⁾	- ^{H21}	
207	food red no.2 (amaranth) and its aluminum lake	915-67-3	Food color	604.48	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁸⁾	+ ⁽¹⁾		
208	food red no.3 (erythrosine) and its aluminum lake	16423-68-0	Food color	897.87	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁸⁾	+ ⁽¹⁾	- ⁽²⁾	
209	food red no.40 (allura red ac) and its aluminum lake	25956-17-6	Food color	496.42	- ⁽²⁰⁾	- ^{H20}	- ^{H20} , - ^{H23}	Comet: - ^{H20} , - ^{H23}
								Target organs for Comet in mice;liver, stomach (glandular stomach) Target organs for TGR in mice;liver, stomach (glandular stomach) for (H2O), liver, colon for (H23)
210	food red no.102 (new coccine)	2611-82-7	Food color	631.50	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁸⁾	+ ⁽¹⁾	- ⁽²⁾	Comet: (+) ^{H20}
								Target organs for Comet in mice;liver; pseudo positive, stomach (glandular stomach); negative.
211	food red no.104 (phloxine)	18472-87-2	Food color	829.63	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁸⁾	- ⁽¹⁾	- ^{H20}	Comet: + ^{H20}
								Target organs for Comet in mice;liver; pseudo positive, stomach (glandular stomach) in mice; positive.
212	food red no.105 (rose bengale)	632-69-9	Food color	1017.64	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁸⁾	- ⁽¹⁾	- ^{H20}	Target organs for TGR in mice;liver, stomach (glandular stomach)
213	food red no.106 (acid red)	3520-42-1	Food color	580.65	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁸⁾	+ ⁽¹⁾	- ⁽²⁾	
214	food yellow no.4 (tartrazine) and its aluminum lake	1934-21-0	Food color	534.37	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁸⁾	+ ⁽¹⁾	- ⁽⁹⁾	

Table 3 List of the results in genotoxicity tests for the 454 designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016) (Continued)

No. ^a	Name	Functional classes	CAS#	Molecular weight	Genotoxicity tests			Remarks
					Ames	CA	TGR	Others
215	food yellow no.5 (sunset yellow fcf) and its aluminum lake	Food color	2783-94-0	452.37	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁸⁾	+ ⁽¹⁾	- ^{H21}	
216	food green no.3 (fast green fcf) and its aluminum lake	Food color	2353-45-9	808.85	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁸⁾	- ⁽¹⁾	- ⁽²⁾	High purity sample was used in (1).
217	food blue no.1 (brilliant blue fcf) and its aluminum lake	Food color	3844-45-9	792.85	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁸⁾	+ ⁽¹⁾	- ^{H21}	
218	food blue no.2 (indigo carmine) and its aluminum lake	Food color	860-22-0	466.35	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁸⁾	+ ⁽¹⁾		
219	sucrose esters of fatty acids	Emulsifier			- ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁰⁾	[±] ⁽¹⁾		
220	silicone resin (polydimethylsiloxane)	Antiforming agent			- ⁽²⁾	[±] ⁽²⁾		
221	cinnamyl alcohol (cinnamic alcohols)	Flavoring agent	104-54-1	134.18	- ⁽¹⁶⁾	+ ^{H24}		
222	cinnamaldehyde (cinnamic aldehyde)	Flavoring agent	14371-10-9	132.16	+ ⁽³⁾ - ⁽¹⁴⁾	+ ⁽³⁾	- ⁽⁹⁾	- ^{H22}
								Target organs for TGR(liver, small intestine (jejunum) in mice
223	potassium hydroxide (caustic potash)	Processing agent	1310-58-3	56.11	- ⁽¹⁷⁾			
224	calcium hydroxide (slaked lime)	Processing agent etc.	1303-62-0	74.09	- ⁽⁵⁾⁽¹⁴⁾	⁽⁵⁾		
225	sodium hydroxide (caustic soda)	Processing agent	1310-73-2	40.00	- ⁽¹⁶⁾			
226	magnesium hydroxide	Dietary supplement etc.	1309-42-8	58.32	- ^{FSC34}			
227	sucralose (trichlorogalactosucrose) [30]	Sweetener	56038-13-2	397.64	- ⁽²⁴⁾			
228	calcium stearate	Dietary supplement	1592-23-0	324.56				
229	magnesium stearate	Emulsifier etc.	557-04-0	591.24	- ^{H13}			See calcium stearate
230	calcium stearoyl lactylate (calcium stearyl lactylate)	Emulsifier	5793-94-2		- ⁽³⁾	⁽³⁾		
231	sodium stearoyl lactylate	Emulsifier etc.	25383-99-7	378.53	- ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁰⁾	[±] ⁽¹⁾		
232	sorbitan esters of fatty acids	Emulsifier	50-70-4	182.17	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹¹⁾	⁽¹⁾		
233	d-sorbitol (D-sorbit)	Sweetener etc.			- ⁽²⁾⁽¹⁶⁾	⁽²⁾		
234	sorbic acid	Preservative	110-44-1	112.13	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹¹⁾	⁽¹⁾		
235	potassium sorbate	Preservative	24634-61-5	150.22	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹¹⁾	⁽¹⁾	- ^{H20}	
236	calcium sorbate	Preservative etc.	7492-55-9	262.32				See potassium sorbate
237	ammonium carbonate	Processing agent etc.	506-87-6	96.09	- ⁽¹⁹⁾	^{H23}		
238	potassium carbonate (anhydrous)	Processing agent etc.	584-08-7	138.21	- ⁽⁴⁾⁽¹⁹⁾	⁽⁴⁾		
239	calcium carbonate	Processing agent etc.	471-34-1	100.09	- ⁽¹²⁾	+ ^{H23}		
240	ammonium bicarbonate (ammonium Raising agent etc.)	Raising agent etc.	1066-33-7	79.06	- ⁽⁴⁾⁽¹⁹⁾	⁽⁴⁾		

Table 3 List of the results in genotoxicity tests for the 454 designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016) (Continued)

No. ^a	Name	Functional classes	CAS#	Molecular weight	Genotoxicity tests	Remarks			
					Ames	CA	MN	TGR	Others
	hydrogen carbonate)								
241	sodium bicarbonate (bicarbonate soda or sodium hydrogen carbonate)	Raising agent etc.	144-55-8	84.01	- ⁽²⁾	- ⁽²⁾			
242	sodium carbonate (crystal: carbonate soda, anhydrous: soda ash)	Processing agent	497-19-8	105.99	- ⁽³⁾⁽¹⁹⁾	- ⁽³⁾			Crystalline form was used in (3), and anhydrous form was used for in (19).
243	magnesium carbonate	Processing agent	546-93-0	84.31	- ⁽⁵⁾⁽¹³⁾	- ⁽⁵⁾			
244	thiabendazole	Antimolding agent	148-79-8	201.25	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁰⁾	- ⁽¹⁾			
245	thiamine hydrochloride (vitamin B1 hydrochloride)	Dietary supplement	67-03-8	337.27	- ⁽²⁾⁽¹¹⁾	- ⁽²⁾			
246	thiamine mononitrate (vitamin B1 mononitrate)	Dietary supplement	532-43-4	327.36	- ⁽⁵⁾⁽¹⁵⁾	- ⁽⁵⁾			
247	thiamine dicyethylsulfate (vitamin B1 dicyethylsulfate)	Dietary supplement			- ⁽¹⁾	- ⁽¹⁾			
248	thiamine thiocyanate (vitamin B1 thiocyanate)	Dietary supplement	130131-60-1	341.45					See thiamine hydrochloride
249	thiamine naphthalene-1,5-disulfonate (vitamin B1 naphthalene-1,5-disulfonate)	Dietary supplement			- ⁽²⁾	- ⁽²⁾			
250	thiamine diaurylsulfate (vitamin B1 diaurylsulfate)	Dietary supplement etc.			- ⁽²⁾	- ⁽²⁾			
251	thioethers (except those generally recognized as highly toxic)	Flavoring agent							
252	thiols (thiaoalcohols) (except those generally recognized as highly toxic)	Flavoring agent							
253	L-theanine	Seasoning	3081-61-6	174.20	- ⁽⁴⁾⁽¹⁹⁾	- ⁽⁴⁾			
254	decanal (decy aldehyde)	Flavoring agent	112-31-2	156.27	- ⁽³⁾⁽¹⁶⁾	- ⁽³⁾			
255	decanol (decy alcohol)	Flavoring agent	112-30-1	158.28	- ⁽¹⁶⁾	- ^{H25}			
256	ethyl decanoate (ethyl caprate)	Flavoring agent	110-38-3	200.32	- ⁽¹⁶⁾	- ^{H25}			
257	sodium iron chlorophyllin	Food color			- ⁽¹⁾	- ⁽¹⁾			
258	5,6,7,8-tetrahydroquinoxaline	Flavoring agent	34413-35-9	134.18	- ^{H16}	- ^{H16}			
259	2,3,5,6-tetramethylpyrazine	Flavoring agent	1124-11-4	136.20	- ^{FSC35}	- ^{FSC35}			
260	sodium dehydroacetate	Preservative	4418-26-2	208.14	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹¹⁾	+ ⁽¹⁾			
261	terpineol	Flavoring agent	8000-41-7	154.25	- ⁽¹⁶⁾	- ^{H22}			
262	terpene hydrocarbons	Flavoring agent							
263	sodium carboxymethylstarch	Thickening agents			- ⁽³⁾	- ⁽³⁾			

Table 3 List of the results in genotoxicity tests for the 454 designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016) (Continued)

No. ^a	Name	Functional classes	CAS#	Molecular weight	Genotoxicity tests	Remarks			
					Ames	CA	MN	TGR	Others
264	copper salts (limited to copper gluconate and cupric sodium copper chlorophyllin)	Dietary supplement		- ⁽¹³⁾					
265	Food color	28302-36-5	722.13	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹³⁾	- ⁽¹⁾				
266	Food color	15739-09-0		- ⁽¹⁾	- ⁽¹⁾				
267	Antioxidant	50-02-9	430.71	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁰⁾	- ⁽¹⁾				
268	dl- α -tocopherol acetate	Dietary supplement etc.		-FSC36					
									Identical to dl- α -tocopherol acetate
									See all-racemic - α -tocopherol acetate
269	R,R,R- α -tocopheryl acetate	Dietary supplement etc.							
270	DL-tryptophan	Dietary supplement etc.	54-12-6	204.23	- ⁽²⁾				
271	L-tryptophan	Dietary supplement etc.	73-22-3	204.23	- ⁽²⁾⁽¹⁵⁾	- ⁽²⁾			
272	trimethylamine	Flavoring agent	75-50-3	59.11	-H11	+H11			
273	2,3,5-trimethylpyrazine	Flavoring agent	14667-55-1	122.17	-H15	-H15			
274	DL-threonine	Dietary supplement etc.	80-68-2	119.12	- ⁽²⁾⁽¹⁵⁾	- ⁽²⁾			
275	L-threonine	Dietary supplement etc.	72-19-5	119.12	- ⁽²⁾⁽¹⁵⁾	- ⁽²⁾			
276	nisin	Preservative etc.	1414-45-5	3354.07	-FSC37				
277	natamycin	Preservative	7681-93-8	665.73	-FSC38	+FSC38			
278	sodium methoxide (sodium methylate)	Processing agent	124-41-4	54.02	- ⁽¹⁴⁾				
279	nicotinic acid (niacin)	Dietary supplement etc.	59-67-6	123.11	- ⁽³⁾⁽¹¹⁾	- ⁽³⁾			
280	nicotinamide (niacinamide)	Dietary supplement etc.	98-92-0	122.12	- ⁽²⁾⁽¹¹⁾	- ⁽²⁾			
281	sulfur dioxide (sulfurous acid, anhydride)	Preservative etc.	7446-09-5	64.06					
282	chlorine dioxide	Flour treatment agent	10049-04-4	67.45	+ ⁽⁴⁾ - ⁽⁴⁾	+ ⁽⁴⁾	+ ⁽⁹⁾		
									Ames showed positive for liquid form and negative for powdery form. CA showed numerical abnormality for powdery form, liquid form was used in MN
283	silicon dioxide (silica gel)	Filtration aid	14464-46-1	60.08	- ⁽²⁰⁾				
284	carbon dioxide (carbonic acid, gas)	Preservative	124-38-9	44.01					
285	Food color	13463-67-7	79.87	- ⁽¹⁹⁾	-H22				
286	Acidifier	50-21-5	90.08	- ⁽³⁾⁽¹⁸⁾	- ⁽³⁾				
									Samples were distinguished whether in a glass container or a plastic container
287	potassium lactate	Seasoning etc.	996-31-6	128.17	-FSC39				Rec

Table 3 List of the results in genotoxicity tests for the 454 designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016) (Continued)

No. ^a	Name	CAS#	Functional classes	Molecular weight	Genotoxicity tests	Remarks		
				Ames	CA	MN	TGR	Others
288	calcium lactate	Sweetener etc.	814-80-2	218.22	- ⁽¹³⁾ -H23			assay: FSC39
289	iron lactate	Dietary supplement	5905-52-2	233.99	- ⁽¹⁸⁾ + ⁽⁵⁾ - ⁽⁷⁾		-H23	
290	sodium lactate	Acidifier etc.	72-17-3	112.06	- ⁽⁴⁾ (19)	- ⁽⁴⁾		
291	neotame	Sweetener etc.	165450-17-9	378.46	-FSC40	-FSC40		
292	γ-nonalactone (nonalactone)	Flavoring agent	104-61-0		- ⁽¹⁶⁾	-H22		
293	potassium norbixin	Food color	33261-80-2	456.66	- ⁽¹⁾	- ⁽¹⁾		
294	sodium norbixin	Food color	33261-81-3	424.45	- ⁽¹⁾	- ⁽¹⁾		
295	vanillin	Flavoring agent	121-33-5	152.15	- ⁽²⁾ (16)	- ⁽²⁾		
296	isobutyl p-hydroxybenzoate	Preservative	4247-02-3	194.23	- ⁽¹⁾ (16)	- ⁽¹⁾		
297	isopropyl p-hydroxybenzoate	Preservative	4191-73-5	180.20	- ⁽¹⁾ (16)	- ⁽¹⁾		
298	ethyl p-hydroxybenzoate	Preservative	120-47-8	166.17	- ⁽¹⁾ (16)	+ ⁽¹⁾		
299	butyl p-hydroxybenzoate	Preservative	94-26-8	194.23	- ⁽¹⁾ (10)	- ⁽¹⁾		
300	propyl p-hydroxybenzoate	Preservative	94-13-3	180.20	- ⁽¹⁶⁾	+H25		
301	p-methylacetophenone	Flavoring agent	122-00-9	134.18	- ⁽¹⁶⁾	+H24		
302	L-valine	Dietary supplement etc.	72-18-4	117.15	- ⁽³⁾ (18)	- ⁽³⁾		
303	valeraldehyde	Flavoring agent	110-62-3	86.13	-FSC41	-H17		
304	calcium pantothenate	Dietary supplement	137-08-6	476.53	- ⁽³⁾ (12)	- ⁽³⁾		
305	sodium pantothenate	Dietary supplement	75033-16-8		- ⁽⁵⁾ (11)	- ⁽⁵⁾		
306	biotin	Dietary supplement	58-85-5	244.31	-FSC42			
307	L-histidine monohydrochloride	Dietary supplement	7048-02-4	209.63	- ⁽⁵⁾	- ⁽⁵⁾		
308	bisbentiamine (benzoylthiamine disulfide)	Dietary supplement	2667-89-2	770.92	- ⁽⁴⁾ -H23	- ⁽⁴⁾ ± H23		
309	vitamin A (retinol)	Dietary supplement	68-26-8	286.45	- ⁽²⁰⁾	-H23		
310	vitamin a fatty acids esters (retinol esters of fatty acids esters)	Dietary supplement			- ⁽¹⁾	- ⁽⁹⁾		
311	1-hydroxyethylidene-1,1-diphosphonic acid	Processing agent	2809-21-4		-FSC19	-FSC19		
312	hydroxycitronellal	Flavoring agent	107-75-5	172.26	- ⁽²⁰⁾	+H24		
313	hydroxycitronellal dimethylacetal	Flavoring agent	141-92-4	218.33	- ⁽²⁵⁾	- ⁽²⁵⁾		
314	hydroxypropyl distarch phosphate	Thickening agents etc.		5324-00-8			Evaluated as modified starch	

Table 3 List of the results in genotoxicity tests for the 454 designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016) (Continued)

No. ^a	Name	Functional classes	CAS#	Molecular weight	Genotoxicity tests	Remarks			
					Ames	CA	MN	TGR	Others
315	hydroxypropyl cellulose	Emulsifier etc.	9004-64-2	-	FSC43				
316	hydroxypropyl starch	Thickening agents etc.	68130-14-3						Evaluated as modified starch
317	hydroxypropyl methylcellulose	Emulsifier etc.	9004-65-3	H12					
318	piperidine	Flavoring agent	110-89-4	85.15	-FSC44	H17			
319	piperonal (heliotropine)	Flavoring agent	120-57-0	150.13	+ ⁽¹⁶⁾	H22	-H23		Target organs for TGR in mice: liver, kidneys
320	piperonyl butoxide	Insecticide	51-03-6	338.44	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹⁹⁾	(1)			
321	sunflower lecithin	Emulsifier	8002-43-5		-FSC45				
322	acetic acid, glacial	Acidifier	64-19-7	60.05	- ⁽⁴⁾⁽¹⁷⁾	(4)			
323	pyrazine	Flavoring agent	290-37-9	80.09	-FSC46	+ ^{FSC46}			
324	pyridoxine hydrochloride (vitamin B6)	Dietary supplement	58-56-0	205.64	- ⁽²⁾⁽¹¹⁾	(2)			
325	pyrimethamil	Preservative etc.	131341-86-1	199.26	-FSC47				Rec assay: -FSC47
326	potassium pyrosulfite (potassium hydrogen sulfite or potassium metabisulfite)	Preservative etc.	16731-55-8	222.33	- ⁽¹⁾⁽¹²⁾	(1)			
327	sodium pyrosulfite (sodium metabisulfite, acid sulfite of soda)	Preservative etc.	7681-57-4	190.11	- ⁽³⁾⁽¹⁹⁾	(3)			
328	pyrrolidine	Flavoring agent	123-75-1	71.12	-FSC48	+ ^{H17}			
329	potassium pyrophosphate (tetrapotassium pyrophosphate)	Processing agent	7320-34-5	330.34	- ⁽¹⁵⁾	-H22			
330	calcium dihydrogen pyrophosphate (acid calcium pyrophosphate)	Dietary supplement etc.	14866-19-4	216.04	- ⁽²⁰⁾		H23		
331	disodium dihydrogen pyrophosphate (acid disodium pyrophosphate)	Processing agent	7758-16-9	221.94	- ⁽⁴⁾⁽²⁰⁾		(4)		
332	ferric pyrophosphate	Dietary supplement etc.	1332-96-3	745.21	- ⁽⁴⁾⁽¹¹⁾	(4)			
333	sodium pyrophosphate (tetrasodium pyrophosphate)	品質改良剤	7722-88-5	265.90	- ⁽⁵⁾⁽¹⁵⁾	(5)			
334	pyrrole	Flavoring agent	109-97-7	67.09	-FSC49	+ ^{H18}			
335	L-phenylalanine	Dietary supplement etc.	63-91-2	165.19	- ⁽²⁾⁽¹⁸⁾	(2)			
336	isoamyl phenylacetate	Flavoring agent	102-19-2	206.28	- ⁽¹⁶⁾	H25			
337	isobutyl phenylacetate	Flavoring agent	102-13-6	192.25	- ⁽¹⁹⁾	H24			
338	ethyl phenylacetate	Flavoring agent	101-97-3	164.20	- ⁽²⁾⁽¹⁶⁾	(2)			
339	2-(3-phenylpropyl) pyridine	Flavoring agent	2110-18-1	197.28	-H17	+ ^{H17}			

Table 3 List of the results in genotoxicity tests for the 454 designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016) (Continued)

No. ^a	Name	Functional classes	CAS#	Molecular weight	Genotoxicity tests			Remarks
					Ames	CA	TGR	Others
340	phenethylamine	Flavoring agent	64-04-0	121.18	-H17	+H17	-H18	
341	phenol ethers (except those generally recognized as highly toxic)	Flavoring agent						
342	phenols (except those generally recognized as highly toxic)	Flavoring agent						
343	ferrocyanides (potassium ferrocyanide (potassium hexacyanoferrate (iii)), calcium ferrocyanide (calcium hexacyanoferrate (iii)), sodium ferrocyanide (sodium hexacyanoferrate (iii)))	Processing agent etc.	13943-58-3, 13821-08-4, 13601-19-9	422.39, 508.29, 484.06	-	-		Evaluated as potassium ferrocyanide and sodium ferrocyanide
344	butanol	Processing agent etc.	71-36-3	74.12	-H15	-H15	-H15	
345	butylamine	Flavoring agent	109-73-9	73.14	-FSC50	+H17	-H18	
346	butyraldehyde	Flavoring agent	123-78-8	72.11	-FSC51	+FSC51 -FSC51	-FSC51	
347	butylated hydroxyanisole	Antioxidant	25013-16-5	180.24	(1)(10)	(1)	(3)	
348	fumaric acid	Acidifier	110-17-8	116.07	(4)(17)	(4)		
349	monosodium fumarate (sodium fumarate)	Acidifier	5873-57-4	138.05	(5)(19)	(5)		
350	fluioxonil	Preservative etc.	131341-86-1	248.19	-FSC52	+FSC52	-	
351	furfurals and its derivatives (except those generally recognized as highly toxic)	Flavoring agent			-H23			Furfural was used for the assay
352	propanol	Processing agent etc.	71-23-8	60.09	-FSC53	-		
353	propionaldehyde	Flavoring agent	123-38-6	58.08	-FSC54	-H17		
354	propionic acid	Flavoring agent etc.	79-09-4	74.08	(16)	-H22		
355	isoamyl propionate	Flavoring agent	105-68-0	144.21	(3)(16)	(3)		
356	ethyl propionate	Flavoring agent	105-37-3	102.13	(3)(16)	(3)		
357	calcium propionate	Preservative	4075-81-4	186.22	(2)(16)	(2)		
358	sodium propionate	Preservative	137-40-6	96.06	(1)(11)	(1)		
359	benzyl propionate	Flavoring agent	122-63-4	164.20	(16)	-H24		
360	propylene glycol	Quality sustainer etc.	57-55-6	76.09	(1)(14)	(1)	(9)	
361	propylene glycol esters of fatty acids	Emulsifier			(2)(20)	(2)		
362	hexanoic acid (caproic acid)	Flavoring agent	142-62-1	116.16	(12)	-H24		
363	allyl hexanoate (allyl caproate)	Flavoring agent	123-68-2	156.22	(17)	(4)	-H22	

Table 3 List of the results in genotoxicity tests for the 454 designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016) (Continued)

No. ^a	Name	CAS#	Functional classes	Molecular weight	Genotoxicity tests	Remarks			
					Ames	CA	MN	TGR	Others
364	ethyl hexanoate (ethyl caproate)		Flavoring agent	123–66-0	144.21	-(17)	-(4)	H24	
365	ethyl heptanoate (ethyl enanthate)		Flavoring agent	106–30-9	158.24	-(12)	-H24		
366	l-perillaaldehyde		Flavoring agent	18031–40-8	150.22	-(3)(19)	+(3)	(6)	
367	benzyl alcohol		Flavoring agent	100–51-6	108.14	-(3)(17)	(3)	(9)	
368	benzaldehyde		Flavoring agent	100–52-7	106.12	-(17)	-H22		
369	2-pentanol (sec-amylalcohol)		Flavoring agent	6032-29-7	88.15	-H16			
370	trans-2-pentenal		Flavoring agent	1576-87-0	84.12	-H17			
371	1-penten-3-ol		Flavoring agent	616-25-1	86.13	+H16	-H17		
									Ames showed positive only in T1537, whose maximum number of the revertants was within the range of negative control.
372	aromatic alcohols		Flavoring agent						
373	aromatic aldehydes (except those generally recognized as highly toxic)		Flavoring agent						
374	propyl gallate		Antioxidant	121-79-9	212.20	-(1) ⁽¹⁾ + (13)	+ (1)	-H21	
375	sodium polyacrylate		Thickening agents etc.	9003-04-7		-(2)(13)	(2)		
376	polyisobutylene (butyl rubber)		Chewing gum base	9003-27-4		-(3)	(3)		
377	polysorbate 20		Emulsifier etc.	9005-64-5	1227.72				See the data on substances with different molecular weight
378	polysorbate 60		Emulsifier etc.	9005-67-8		FSC55			
379	polysorbate 65		Emulsifier etc.	9005-71-4	1842	-FSC55	+FSC55		
380	polysorbate 80		Emulsifier etc.	9005-65-6	1309.68	-FSC55	-FSC55		Reassay: -FSC55
381	polyvinylpyrrolidone		Thickening agents etc.	9003-39-8					
382	polyvinylpolypyrrolidone		Processing agent	25249-54-1		-FSC56			
383	polybutene (polybutylene)		Chewing gum base	9003-28-5		-FSC57			
384	potassium polyphosphate		Processing agent	68956-75-2		-(4)(20)	(4)		
385	sodium polyphosphate		Processing agent	68915-31-1		-(20)	-H22		
						-(3)(5)(15)	(3)(5)		Sodium tripolyphosphate was used in (3).
386	D-borneol		Flavoring agent	464-43-7	154.25	-(17)	-H22		
387	maltool		Flavoring agent	118-71-8	126.11	-(4) + (17)	+ (4)	+ (9)	-H21
									Target organs for TGR in

Table 3 List of the results in genotoxicity tests for the 454 designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016) (Continued)

No. ^a	Name	CAS#	Functional classes	Molecular weight	Genotoxicity tests	Remarks	
				Ames	CA	TGR	Others
388	D-mannitol (D-mannite)		Antisticking agent etc.	69–65-8	182.17	–(3)(13)	(3)
389	potassium metaphosphate		Processing agent	7790–53-6	118.07	–(2)(15)	(2)
390	sodium metaphosphate		Processing agent	10361–03-2	101.96	–(3)(15)	(3)
391	DL-methionine		Dietary supplement etc.	59–51-8	149.21	–(18)	–H22
392	L-methionine		Dietary supplement	63–68-3	149.21	–(18)	–H23
393	methyl n-methylanthranilate		Flavoring agent	85–91-6	165.19	–(17)	–H22
394	5-methylquinoxaline		Flavoring agent	13708–12-8	144.17	–H15	+H15
395	6-methylquinoline		Flavoring agent	91–62-3	143.19	–H16	–H16
396	6,7-dihydro-5-methyl-5-hydroxycyclopentapyrazine		Flavoring agent	23747–48-0	134.18	–H16	–H17
397	methyl cellulose		Thickening agents etc.	9004–67-5	–(3)(5)(14)	–(3)	
398	1-methylphthalene		Flavoring agent	90–12-0	142.20	–FSC58 +H17	–H18 –FSC58
399	methyl β-naphthyl ketone		Flavoring agent	93–08-3	170.21	–(17)	–H24
400	2-methylpyrazine		Flavoring agent	109–08-0	94.11	–FSC59	–H16
401	2-methylbutanol		Flavoring agent	137–32-6	88.15	–H15	–H15
402	3-methyl-2-butanol		Flavoring agent	598–75-4	88.15	–H17	–H17
403	2-methylbutyraldehyde		Flavoring agent	96–17-3	86.13	–FSC60	–H17
404	trans-2-methyl-2-butenal, (E)-2-methyl-2-butenal		Flavoring agent	497–03-0	84.12	+H17	–H18
405	3-methyl-2-butenal		Flavoring agent	107–86-8	84.12	+H16	–H17
406	3-methyl-2-butanol		Flavoring agent	556–82-1	86.13	+H16	+H17
407	methyl hesperidin (soluble vitamin P)		Dietary supplement	11013–97-1	624.59	–(3)(12)	(3)
408	dl-menthol (dl-peppermint camphor)		Flavoring agent	89–78-1	156.27	–(1)(20)	(1)
409	L-menthol (peppermint camphor)		Flavoring agent	2216–51-5	156.269	–(4)(17)	(4)
410	morpholine salts of fatty acids		Coating agent		–(2)	–(2)	
411	folic acid		Dietary supplement	59–30-3	441.404	–(5)(11)	(5)
412	butyric acid		Flavoring agent	107–92-6	88.106	–(3)(17)	(3)
413	isoamyl butyrate		Flavoring agent	106–27-4	158.241	–(3)(4)(17)	–(3)(4)
414	ethyl butyrate		Flavoring agent	105–54-4	116.16	–(3)(4)(17)	–(3)(4)

Target organs for TGR in mice:lung
mice:liver, stomach (glandular stomach)

The result of MN was pseudo positive.

Table 3 List of the results in genotoxicity tests for the 454 designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016) (Continued)

No. ^a	Name	Functional classes	CAS#	Molecular weight	Genotoxicity tests	Remarks			
					Ames	CA	MN	TGR	Others
415	cyclohexyl butyrate	Flavoring agent	1551-44-6	170.252	- ⁽¹⁷⁾	-H25			
416	butyl butyrate	Flavoring agent	109-21-7	144.214	- ⁽¹⁷⁾	-H24			
417	lactones (except those generally recognized as highly toxic)	Flavoring agent							
418	L-lysine L-aspartate	Dietary supplement etc.	657-27-2	182.65	- ⁽⁵⁾	- ⁽⁵⁾			
419	L-lysine monohydrochloride	Dietary supplement etc.			- ⁽⁵⁾⁽¹⁸⁾	- ⁽⁵⁾			
420	L-lysine L-glutamate	Dietary supplement etc.			-H23	-H23			
421	linalool	Flavoring agent	78-70-6	154.25	- ⁽³⁾⁽¹⁷⁾	- ⁽³⁾			
422	calcium 5'-ribonucleotide	Seasoning			-H22	-H22			
423	disodium 5'-ribonucleotide (sodium 5'-ribonucleotide)	Seasoning			- ⁽³⁾	- ⁽³⁾			
424	riboflavin (vitamin B2)	Dietary supplement etc.	83-88-5	376.369	- ⁽¹⁾⁽⁶⁾⁽¹¹⁾	+ ⁽¹⁾	- ⁽⁹⁾		Rec assay: - ⁽⁶⁾
425	riboflavin tetrabutyrate (vitamin B2 tetrabutyrate)	Dietary supplement etc.	752-56-7	656.733	- ⁽⁴⁾⁽¹⁸⁾	- ⁽⁴⁾			
426	riboflavin 5'-phosphate sodium (riboflavin phosphate sodium, vitamin B2 phosphate sodium)	Dietary supplement etc.	130-40-5	478.33	- ⁽³⁾⁽¹⁸⁾	+ ⁽⁵⁾	- ⁽³⁾		- ⁽⁷⁾
427	sulfuric acid	Processing agent	7664-93-9	98.072	- ⁽¹⁶⁾				
428	aluminum ammonium sulfate (crystal: ammonium alum, desiccated: burnt ammonium alum)	Raising agent etc.	7784-25-0	237.15	- ⁽¹⁴⁾	-H20	-H20		
429	aluminum potassium sulfate (crystal: alum or potassium alum, desiccated: burnt alum)	Raising agent etc.	10043-67-1	258.21	- ⁽³⁾⁽¹⁴⁾	- ⁽³⁾	-H20		
430	ammonium sulfate	Processing agent	7783-20-2	132.14	- ⁽¹⁸⁾	-H23			
431	potassium sulfate	Seasoning etc.	7778-80-5	174.25					
432	calcium sulfate	Tofu coagulator etc.	7778-18-9	172.17	- ⁽¹³⁾	-H23			
433	ferrous sulfate	Dietary supplement etc.	13463-43-9	151.91	- ⁽³⁾⁽¹⁹⁾	+ ⁽³⁾	- ⁽⁹⁾		
434	sodium sulfate	Processing agent	7757-82-6	142.036	- ⁽¹⁸⁾	-H23			
435	magnesium sulfate	Tofu coagulator etc.	7487-88-9	120.361	- ⁽³⁾⁽¹⁸⁾	- ⁽³⁾			Dried sample was also used in (3)
436	dl-malic acid (dl -malic acid)	Acidifier	6915-15-7	134.087	- ⁽²⁾⁽¹⁷⁾	- ⁽²⁾			
437	sodium dl-malate (sodium dl -malate)	Acidifier etc.	138-09-0	178.051	- ⁽⁴⁾⁽¹⁹⁾	- ⁽⁴⁾			

Table 3 List of the results in genotoxicity tests for the 454 designated food additives (As of October 6, 2016) (Continued)

No. ^a	Name	Functional classes	CAS#	Molecular weight	Genotoxicity tests	Remarks			
					Ames	CA	MN	TGR	Others
438	phosphoric acid	Acidifier	7664-38-2	97.994	-(18)	-H23			
439	distarch phosphate	Thickening agents etc.	-		-FSC20	-FSC20			
440	monostarch phosphate	Thickening agents etc.	-		-FSC20	-FSC20			
441	tripotassium phosphate (potassium phosphate, tribasic)	Processing agent	7778-53-2	212.264	-(19)				
442	tricalcium phosphate (calcium phosphate, tribasic)	Processing agent etc.	7758-87-4	310.18	-(13)				
443	trimagnesium phosphate	Processing agent	10233-87-1	262.86					See substances with different salt
444	diammonium hydrogen phosphate (diammonium phosphate or ammonium phosphate, dibasic)	Processing agent	7783-28-0	132.056	-(18)	-H23			
445	ammonium dihydrogen phosphate (ammonium phosphate, monobasic or monoammonium phosphate)	Processing agent	7722-76-1	115.025	-(5)(18)	+(5)			(7)
446	dipotassium hydrogen phosphate (dipotassium phosphate or potassium phosphate, dibasic)	Processing agent	7758-11-4	174.174	-(19)				
447	potassium dihydrogen phosphate (monopotassium)	Processing agent	7778-77-0	136.084	-(18)	-H22			
448	calcium monohydrogen phosphate (calcium phosphate,	Processing agent	136.06	136.06	-(5)(12)	(5)			
449	calcium dihydrogen phosphate (calcium phosphate,	Processing agent	7758-23-8	234.05	-(3)(14)	-(3)			
450	disodium hydrogen phosphate (disodium phosphate)	Processing agent	7558-79-4	141.958	-(3)(19)	(3)			Crystal form and anhydrous form were used in (3) and in (19), respectively.
451	sodium dihydrogen phosphate (monosodium phosphate)	Processing agent	7558-80-7	119.976	-(18)				
452	magnesium monohydrogen phosphate	Processing agent etc.	7782-75-4	174.33					See compounds with different salt
453	trisodium phosphate (sodium phosphate, tribasic)	Processing agent	7601-54-9	163.94	-(19)				Anhydrous substrate was also used in the assay
454	phosphated distarch phosphate	Thickening agents etc.	-						See substrate with different salt

^aNumbers are consistent with those shown in Tables 1 and 2^bUnderlined bold style means that the items are added as indicated in Table 2^c(H12) means Heisei era 12, and indicates that it referred to the report on food inspection expenses implemented in 2000 (=Heisei 12). The same applies to H13, H16, H17, H18, H20, H21, H22, H23, H24, H25, H26^d(FSC) indicates Risk assessment reports in Food Safety Commission of Japan. See <http://www.fsc.go.jp/fscsls/evaluationDocument/listItemCategory=000> (Additional file 1)

performed using CHL cells without S9mix and structural abnormalities were induced (maximum dose was 2 mg/mL) [6]. Subsequently, the Ames test was conducted at Tokyo Metropolitan Research Laboratories of Public Health (TMRL) using TA97 and TA102 with and without S9mix at a maximum dose of 10 mg/plate, and positive results were reported under all conditions [7]. Since genotoxicity was detected in vitro, bone marrow micronucleus test using ddY mice was conducted in 1986. The test results were negative for single i.p. doses of 125, 250 and 500 mg/kg body weight [5].

In FY2009, TGR testing using *gpt* delta mice was performed in the liver and glandular stomach for confirmation of in vivo mutagenicity; the results were negative in both organs following oral gavage for 28 days at a maximum dose of 1000 mg/kg body weight [H22(FY2010)].

Despite positive in vitro results, it was concluded that L-cysteine hydrochloride is not genotoxic in living organisms because results were negative in in vivo MN and TGR tests.

Cinnamaldehyde (No. 222 in Table 3)

Ames tests were performed at a maximum dose of 0.5 mg/plate with and without S9mix using TA100, TA1535, TA98, TA1537, TA92, TA97 in FY1981. Only TA100 showed positive results regardless of the metabolic activation in this report [8]. In the chromosome aberration test simultaneously carried out using CHL cells, structural abnormalities were induced without S9mix (maximum dose 0.015 mg/mL) [8]. Subsequently, Ames tests were carried out at TMRL using TA97 and TA102 at a maximum dose of 0.1 mg/plate; results were negative regardless of metabolic activation [7]. Since genotoxicity was detected in vitro, in vivo bone marrow MN testing was conducted in FY1986. Mice (ddY) were given a single i.p. injection at 125, 250 and 500 mg/kg body weight and the results were negative [5].

We conducted TGR tests in the liver and small intestine (jejunum) using *gpt* delta mice to confirm in vivo mutagenicity in FY2010 and FY2011. The reason for choosing the small intestine as the target organ is that it is the first in the gastrointestinal tract to be exposed to substances administered orally. Mice were dosed by oral gavage at 125, 250, 500 and 1000 mg/kg body weight for 28 days, and mutagenicity was investigated for the animals dosed at 500 and 1000 mg/kg body weight. Negative results were obtained in both organs [H22(FY2010)].

Despite showing genotoxicity in vitro, it was concluded that cinnamaldehyde does not show genotoxicity in living organisms because results were negative in in vivo MN and TGR tests.

Iron lactate (No. 289 in Table 3)

Ames testing was carried out in FY1983 and the results were positive without S9mix in TA97, TA102 and

TA2637 at the highest dose of 5.0 mg/plate, and negative in TA100 and TA98 with and without S9mix [9]. Chromosomal aberration testing using CHL cells conducted in the same year induced structural abnormalities without S9mix (maximum dose 2.5 mg/mL) [9]. Subsequently, Ames tests were conducted at TMRL using TA97 and TA102, yielding negative results with and without S9mix [10]. The maximum dose in this study, 1.0 mg/plate, is considered to be insufficient. In vivo MN testing using ddY mice was conducted in FY1986 (single i.p. administration of 30 mg/kg body weight, and four separate i.p. doses of 7.5 mg/kg body weight/day), with negative results [11].

In FY2011, TGR tests in the liver and kidney were carried out using *gpt* delta mice to confirm in vivo mutagenicity. The reason for using the kidney as the target organ is that nephrotoxicity was observed in macroscopic examinations. After doses of 250, 500 and 1000 mg/kg body weight for 28 days by oral gavage, mutation was investigated at doses of 500 and 1000 mg/kg body weight. Since the results were negative in both organs [H23(FY2011)], it was concluded that iron lactate does not induce mutation in vivo.

Propyl gallate (No. 374 in Table 3)

Ames testing was carried out in TA100, TA98, TA1537 at 500 µg/plate in FY1979, and negative results were obtained regardless of metabolic activation [2]. Subsequently, Ames tests were carried out at TMRL using TA97 and TA102, and at the maximum dose of 0.1 mg/plate it was found that TA102 showed a statistically significant increase in the number of revertants regardless of metabolic activation [12]. TA100 and TA1535 mainly detect base substitution occurring in GC base pairs, while TA102 mainly reveals substitution in AT base pairs. Thus, these results (negative in TA100 and TA1535, positive in TA102) suggest that propyl gallate is reactive with AT base pairs. The negative results for TA98, TA1537 and TA97 indicate that the probability of inducing a frameshift mutation is low. Five out of six tests showed positive results at doses higher than 50 µg/mL, but an unusual pattern was shown regarding dose correlation for which the mechanism is unknown.

Since the above results suggested that propyl gallate induces base substitution with AT base pairs in vitro, TGR testing was performed in liver and glandular stomach using *gpt* delta mice in FY2009 [H21(FY2009)]. Repeated administration over 28 days produced negative results for both organs at the highest dose of 1000 mg/kg body weight. Thus, the mutagenicity of propyl gallate was detected in vitro, but not considered to be detected in vivo.

After chromosomal aberration testing in FY1979 it was reported that structural abnormalities were induced

in CHL after 24 h treatment at a dose of 0.04 mg/mL without S9mix [2]. To investigate the risk of chromosomal aberration, in vivo bone marrow MN testing was conducted in FY2009, with negative results at the maximum dose of 1000 mg/kg body weight (administered twice) [H21(FY2009)]. Therefore, although chromosomal abnormalities were detected in vitro, they were not in vivo.

From the results detailed above, propyl gallate was considered to be non-genotoxic to living bodies.

2) Two items negative for chromosome aberration and in vivo micronucleus tests while positive for Ames test

Erythorbic acid (isoascorbic acid)(No. 78 in Table 3)

This substance was positive only in TA100 regardless of S9mix (highest dose 50 mg/plate) in the Ames test using the strains TA100, TA98, TA1535, TA98, TA1537, TA92 and TA94 in FY1980 [13]. In chromosomal aberration tests using CHL cells, a negative result was reported at the highest dose of 0.25 mg/mL without S9mix [13]. In the Ames test conducted at TMRL using TA97 and TA102, a statistically significant increase in the number of revertants was reported in both strains regardless of S9mix (maximum dose 10 mg/plate) [14]. However, the result is given as negative in Table 3 since the number of revertants did not reach twice of the number of the negative control. Subsequently, an in vivo bone marrow MN test using ddY mice was performed, and this substance showed negative when administered in a single dose of 1500 mg/kg body weight (at the maximum) or as four treatments (at 24-h intervals) at 750 mg/kg body weight (at the maximum).

Thereafter, TGR testing using *gpt* delta mice was conducted for liver and glandular stomach (maximum dose 1000 mg/kg body weight for 28 days by gavage) in FY2009 in order to investigate in vivo mutagenicity. Neither point mutation nor deletion mutation was induced in either organ [H21(FY2009)]. It was concluded that there are no concerns for genotoxicity of erythorbic acid to living bodies.

Piperonal (No. 319 in Table 3)

In Ames testing at TMRL using TA97 and TA102 this substance showed positive results in TA97 without S9mix at the highest dose of 1 mg/plate [3]. It is reported that a statistically significant increase was observed with S9mix, but the level did not reach twice that of the negative control. There are no reports on chromosomal aberration tests. MN testing using ICR mice was carried out in FY2010, and the results were negative in bone marrow after oral administration of 250, 500 and 1000 mg/kg body weight (two doses at 24-h intervals) [H22(FY2010)]. In FY2010–11, TGR testing using *gpt* delta mice was performed in the liver and kidney in order to confirm in vivo

mutagenicity at doses of 250, 500 and 1000 mg/kg body weight for 28 days by gavage. The results were negative for both organs at doses of 500 and 1000 mg/kg body weight [H23(FY2011)].

From the above results it was concluded that piperonal does not show genotoxicity in living organisms.

3) One item positive in all three tests (Ames, chromosomal aberration and in vivo micronucleus tests) *Maltol*

In 1982, Ames testing using TA100, TA98, TA2637, and TA94 was carried out for maltol at a maximum dose of 10.0 mg/plate, and the results were negative both with and without S9mix [6]. Chromosomal aberration testing was conducted in the same year, and it was reported that structural abnormalities were induced in CHL cells at the highest dose (0.075 mg/mL) without S9mix [6]. Subsequently, Ames testing was performed at TMRL with TA97 and TA102, at the highest dose of 10.0 mg/plate with and without S9mix. Induction of colony formation at a reversion level almost double that of the negative control was observed in TA97 at a dose of 1 mg/plate without S9mix. Positive judgment has been reported in a micronucleus test using bone marrow of ddY mice, 24 h after single i.p. administration of 125, 250 and 500 mg/kg body weight [5]. Since the usage of this item is limited to fragrances, there is no possibility of exposure in vivo at a concentration equivalent to the dose at which chromosomal abnormality was detected in vitro.

In FY2009, TGR testing using *gpt* delta mice was performed in the liver and glandular stomach for confirmation of in vivo gene mutagenicity. The results were negative in both organs at doses of 400, 200, 100 and 50 mg/kg body weight for 28 days by gavage [H21(FY2009)].

From the above, it seems that there is no concern of genotoxicity in maltol for living bodies.

4) Five items for which the Ames test was negative

1-Methylnaphthalene

In FY2005 this substance was reported to have induced structural abnormalities in a chromosome aberration test using CHL cells [H17(FY2005)] while in vivo bone marrow micronucleus testing conducted in FY2006 reported negative results in a two-dose study of 1000 mg/kg body weight at the maximum [H18(FY2006)].

Regarding mutagenicity, in Ames tests using several strains of *Salmonella typhimurium* conducted from 1980 to 2002, all results were negative, whereas a weak positive result was reported in the forward mutation test using *S. typhimurium* (maximum dose 0.992 mg/mL, 2-h exposure) [FSC58]. In theory, the Ames test, which is a reverse mutation test, can detect only specific point mutations while a forward mutation test can detect mutations of any type. Thus, it would be problematic for the

negative results of the Ames tests to be taken as completely eliminating the concerns about mutagenicity arising from the result of the forward mutation tests. Subsequently, a TGR test in *gpt* delta mice (males and females) was performed on the lungs. The reason that the lungs were selected as the target organ was that weak carcinogenicity was observed in the lungs of mice in the 81-week chronic toxicity–carcinogenicity combination test reported in 1993. TGR tests were conducted at doses of 170 and 280 mg/kg body weight for females and 120 and 220 mg/kg body weight for males by dietary administration for 13 weeks, the results being negative in all conditions ([15], FSC58).

From the above, 1-methylnaphthalene is considered to have no concerns of genotoxicity for living bodies.

Food Red No. 40

In FY1995 at TMRL negative results (maximum dose 10 mg/plate) in Ames tests with TA97 and TA102 with and without S9mix were reported [16]. Chromosomal aberration tests have not been carried out. Subsequently, in vivo micronucleus tests using CD1 mice was performed in FY2008, and results of single oral gavage of 500, 1000 and 2000 mg/kg body weight were reported to be negative in bone marrow [H20(FY2008)].

In FY2008 and FY2011, comet and TGR tests using mice were conducted to examine in vivo DNA damage inducibility and mutagenicity, respectively. In the comet test, CDF₁ mice were administered two doses of 500, 1000 and 2000 mg/kg body weight by oral gavage with a 24-h interval. The results were negative for both liver and glandular stomach (H20(FY2008), [17]). In addition, another comet assay using ICR mice was carried out with two oral gavage administrations (24-h interval) at doses of 500, 1000 and 2000 mg/kg body weight. The results were negative in both stomach and colon, while an increase without dose correlation was observed in liver [H23(FY2011)]. TGR testing was conducted using the Muta™Mouse, orally gavaged at doses of 250, 500 and 1000 mg/kg body weight for 28 days; mutagenicity in the liver and glandular stomach was not observed [H20(FY2008)]. Furthermore, TGR tests using *gpt* delta mice were carried out and the results were negative for mutagenicity in the large intestine following oral gavage for 28 days at doses of 250, 500 and 1000 mg/kg body weight [H23(FY2011)].

From the above, it seems that there is no concern of genotoxicity of Food Red No. 40 for living bodies.

Food Red No. 102

In 1979 negative results were reported following Ames tests carried out with and without S9mix conditions using TA100, TA1535, TA98, TA1537, TA92 and TA94 (maximum dose 5.0 mg/plate) [2]. In chromosomal aberration tests using CHL cells carried out in the same year, induction of structural abnormalities was observed with

S9mix (maximum dose of 4.0 mg/mL) [2]. Subsequently, Ames tests were conducted at TMRL using TA97 and TA102, and the results were negative with and without S9mix (maximum dose 10 mg/plate) [10]. Since chromosomal abnormalities were induced in vitro, micronucleus tests using ddY mice were carried out in FY1980. Results were negative for two sets of conditions in bone marrow: single i.p. administration of 300, 600 and 1200 mg/kg body weight; and four i.p. doses of 300 mg/kg body weight [13].

In FY2008, comet and TGR tests using mice were carried out to examine in vivo DNA damage inducibility and mutagenicity, respectively [H20(FY2008)]. Comet tests were carried out by oral gavage (twice, at 24-h intervals) at doses of 500, 1000 and 2000 mg/kg body weight using CDF₁ mice, and the results were judged as negative in both liver and glandular stomach. The TGR tests were carried out in Muta™Mouse using oral gavage at 250, 500 and 1000 mg/kg body weight for 28 days, and the results were negative in both liver and glandular stomach.

From the above, Food Red No.102 is considered not to have concerns of genotoxicity to living bodies.

Food Red No. 104

In 1979, negative results in Ames tests carried out using TA100, TA1535, TA98, TA1537, TA92 and TA94 with and without S9mix (maximum dose 5 mg/plate) were reported [2]. In the same year, chromosomal aberration tests using CHL cells were conducted without S9mix, and the results were negative (maximum dose 0.25 mg/mL) [2]. Ames tests were also conducted at TMRL with TA97 and TA102, and the results were negative with and without S9mix (maximum dose 1 mg/plate) [10]. Micronucleus testing using mice was not performed because both in vitro tests were negative.

In FY2008, comet and TGR tests using mice were carried out to confirm in vivo DNA damage inducibility and mutagenicity, respectively. Comet tests for liver and glandular stomach were performed by oral gavage (twice, 24-h interval) at doses of 250, 500 and 1000 mg/kg body weight on CDF₁ mice. Results showed false positive in the liver and positive in glandular stomach [H20(FY2008)]. TGR tests were conducted using Muta™Mouse with oral gavage at 250, 500 and 1000 mg/kg body weight for 28 days and liver and glandular stomach were examined for mutation induction; the results were negative in both [H20(FY2008)].

Based on the above results, it is likely that the DNA damage detected in the comet tests would not reach the level necessary to produce mutation. The negative results in liver and glandular stomach in TGR tests support this view, and it seems likely that the DNA damage is repaired in mouse body. Therefore, Food Red No. 104 is considered not to induce genotoxicity (mutagenicity) in vivo.

Food Red No. 105

In FY1978 results were negative in Ames tests (maximum dose 5.0 mg/plate) with and without S9mix using TA100, TA1535, TA98, TA1537, TA92 and TA94 [2]. In the same year, chromosomal aberration tests using CHL cells were also carried out (S9mix only) and the results were negative (maximum dose 0.25 mg/mL) [2]. Subsequently, Ames tests were carried out at TMRL using TA97 and TA102 (maximum dose 1 mg/plate) with and without S9mix, with negative results [10]. Micronucleus tests using mice were not carried out because both in vitro tests were negative.

In FY2008, in order to examine in vivo DNA damage inducibility and mutagenicity, comet and TGR tests were conducted, respectively, in mice. The comet test was positive in both liver and glandular stomach for oral administration (twice, 24-h interval) at doses of 250, 500 and 1000 mg/kg body weight for CDF₁ mice, and were examined [H20(FY2008)]. The TGR test was conducted using Muta™Mouse with oral gavage at 250, 500 and 1000 mg/kg body weight for 28 days. Mutation induction in the liver and glandular stomach was tested for, both results being negative [H20(FY2008)].

Since the TGR tests performed in mouse liver and glandular stomach were negative, the DNA damage detected in the comet test is considered to have been repaired in vivo. Thus, there is a high possibility that such DNA damage would not lead to mutation. In conclusion, there are no concerns that Food Red No. 105 induces genotoxicity (mutagenicity) in vivo.

Discussion

The standard genotoxicity tests are carried out to detect gene mutation by Ames test using bacteria, and to detect chromosomal abnormalities by an in vitro chromosomal aberration test using cell culture and an in vivo micronucleus test using mice. Chromosomal abnormalities in chromosomal aberration tests are observed as morphological abnormalities in chromosomes during the interphase of cell division because damaged DNA is not normally replicated and the abnormalities persist. Such structural abnormalities are lethal for cells in many cases, and the majority of chromosomal abnormalities are not inherited by the next generation. Similarly, micronuclei in the micronucleus test also transiently appear in daughter cells after cell division, and disappear after the next cell division. Therefore, chromosomal abnormalities and micronuclei are indicators that DNA has been exposed to genotoxic substances, not a cause of cancer in cells. The fragmentation of DNA observed in the comet test is also transient, thus the comet test is also an indicator test. On the other hand, gene mutation is irreversible and permanent. Gene mutations arising in oncogenes or tumor suppressor genes have the possibility to

cause cell transformation and initiate cancer-forming cells. Therefore, genetic mutation is a direct trigger of cancer, and it is highly correlated with carcinogenicity in rodents compared to other genotoxic end points [18], while the chromosome aberration test and micronucleus test have high false positive rates and low correlation with carcinogenicity tests [19].

The TGR test, which is an in vivo gene mutation test, is thus recommended when chemical substances have shown positive results in chromosome aberration tests, micronucleus tests, and comet tests. In particular, when comet and TGR tests are carried out on the same target tissue, if the results differ between the two, the results of the TGR tests should be given priority. The TGR test is also useful for follow-up of the same gene mutation test, the Ames test. A false positive reaction sometimes occurs in the Ames test because of bacteria-specific conditions such as drug metabolism, in vitro test-specific reactions using rat S9, as well as nonspecific reactions due to non-physiological conditions differing from the in vivo situation. Confirmation of an indication of mutagenicity with the Ames test by the TGR test in the living body is important on both scientific and safety grounds.

Among the 13 designated food additives covered in the Commentary section, eight items were positive for the Ames test, but the TGR test showed negative results for all of them. As a result, the possibility that these eight food additives exhibit genetic toxicity (especially mutagenicity, which is problematic for living bodies) is eliminated. This knowledge is important to ensure human safety. The TGR test took effect with publication of the OECD Guideline TG488 in 2011 and therefore was not available for implementation at the time of the Hayashi report (2000). We expect the safety of other food additives to be confirmed as TGR test results are accumulated.

Postscript

In this report, we summarized the data for the most widely used substances in the classification of designated food additives in Japan. Currently we are summarizing the results of genotoxicity tests conducted at MHLW for existing food additives, a group of the next most widely used food additives, in the same way. The reports will be updated from time to time since additions and deletions of items are considered likely in the future.

Additional file

Additional file 1: References Reports in Japanese. (DOCX 14 kb)

Abbreviations

CA: Chromosomal aberration test; CHL: Chinese hamster lung; FSC: Food Safety Commission; FY: Fiscal year; MHLW: The Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare; MN: Micronucleus test; NIHs: National Institute of Health Sciences; OECD: The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development;

TGR: Transgenic rodent gene mutation assay; TMRL: Tokyo Metropolitan Research Laboratories of Public Health

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all the authors in the references, and the researchers who conducted the tests and provided the data. The authors wish to express their gratitude to the Food Safety Standards and Evaluation Division, Pharmaceutical Safety and Environmental Health Bureau, Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan and Japan Food Additives Association for providing genotoxicity test data of food additives.

Funding

This work was supported by Health and Labour Sciences Research Grants (H28-Food-General-001 and H30-Food-General-003) from Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable

Authors' contributions

MH conceived of the study and participated in its design and coordination. MY collected the data, created a detailed table and wrote the manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 21 August 2018 Accepted: 5 December 2018

Published online: 26 December 2018

References

1. Hayashi M, Matsui M, Ishii K, Kawasaki M. Data sheet for mutagenicity evaluation of food additives by Ministry of Health Labour and Welfare (FY1979–FY1998). *Environ Mutagen Res*. 2000;22:27–44 [in Japanese].
2. Ishidate M Jr, Yoshikawa K, Sofuni T. Mutagenicity tests on food additives (series 1) - the collaborative study supported by the Ministry of Health and Welfare of Japan. *Mutagen Toxicity*. 1980;12:82–90 [in Japanese].
3. Fujita H, Sumi C, Sasaki M. Mutagenicity test of food additives with *Salmonella typhimurium* TA97, TA102 (VI). *Ann Rep Tokyo Metr Res Lab PH*. 1991;42:267–75 [in Japanese].
4. OECD SIDS Initial Assessment Report, Sodium Nitrite, SIAM 20, 2005. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/sids/sids/763200.pdf>
5. Hayashi M, Kishi M, Sofuni T, Ishidate M Jr. Micronucleus tests in mice on 39 food additives and eight miscellaneous chemicals. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 1988;26:485–500 [in Japanese].
6. Ishidate M Jr, Yoshikawa K, Sofuni T. Mutagenicity tests on food additives (series 4) - the collaborative study supported by the Ministry of Health and Welfare of Japan. *Toxicol Forum*. 1983;6:671–8 [in Japanese].
7. Fujita H, Sasaki M. Mutagenicity test of food additives with *Salmonella typhimurium* TA97, TA102 (IV). *Ann Rep Tokyo Metr Res Lab PH*. 1989;40: 355–62 [in Japanese].
8. Ishidate M Jr, Sofuni T, Yoshikawa K. Mutagenicity tests on food additives (series 3) -. The collaborative study supported by the Ministry of Health and Welfare of Japan. *Mutagen Toxicity*. 1982;5:579–87 [in Japanese].
9. Ishidate M Jr, Sofuni T, Yoshikawa K. Mutagenicity tests on food additives (series 5) - the collaborative study supported by the Ministry of Health and Welfare of Japan. *Toxicol Forum*. 1984;7:634–43 [in Japanese].
10. Fujita H, Sasaki M. Mutagenicity test of food additives with *Salmonella typhimurium* TA97, TA102 (VIII). *Ann Rep Tokyo Metr Res Lab PH*. 1993;44: 278–87 [in Japanese].
11. Ishidate M Jr, Takizawa Y, Sakabe Y, Ishizaki M, Ito K, Tachi M. Mutagenicity tests on food additives (series 8) - the collaborative study supported by the Ministry of Health and Welfare of Japan. *Toxicol Forum*. 1987;10:649–54 [in Japanese].
12. Fujita H, Nakano M, Sasaki M. Mutagenicity test of food additives with *Salmonella typhimurium* TA97, TA102 (III). *Ann Rep Tokyo Metr Res Lab PH*. 1998;39:343–50 [in Japanese].
13. Ishidate M Jr, Sofuni T, Yoshikawa K. Mutagenicity tests on food additives (series 2) - the collaborative study supported by the Ministry of Health and Welfare of Japan. *Mutagen Toxicity*. 1981;4:80–9 [in Japanese].
14. Fujita H, Sumi C, Sasaki M. Mutagenicity test of food additives with *Salmonella typhimurium* TA97, TA102 (VII). *Ann Rep Tokyo Metr Res Lab PH*. 1992;43:219–27 [in Japanese].
15. Jin M, Kijima A, Suzuki Y, Hibi D, Ishii Y, Nohmi T, Nishikawa A, Ogawa K, Umemura T. In vivo genotoxicity of 1-methylnaphthalene from comprehensive toxicity studies with B6C3F1 *gpt* delta mice. *J Toxicol Sci*. 2012;37:711–21.
16. Fujita H, Aoki N, Sasaki M. Mutagenicity test of food additives with *Salmonella typhimurium* TA97, TA102 (X). *Ann Rep Tokyo Metr Res Lab PH*. 1995;6:258–64 [in Japanese].
17. Honma M. Evaluation of the in vivo genotoxicity of Allura Red AC (Food Red No.40). *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2015;84:270–5.
18. Morita T, Hamada S, Masumura K, Wakata A, Maniwa J, Takasawa H, Yasunaga K, Hashizume T, Honma M. Evaluation of the sensitivity and specificity of in vivo erythrocyte micronucleus and transgenic rodent gene mutation tests to detect rodent carcinogens. *Mutat Res*. 2016;802:1–29.
19. Kirkland D, Aardema M, Henderson L, Müller L. Evaluation of the ability of a battery of three *in vitro* genotoxicity tests to discriminate rodent carcinogens and non-carcinogens I. Sensitivity, specificity and relative predictivity. *Mutat Res*. 2005;584:1–256.
20. Fujita H, Sasaki M. Mutagenicity test of food additives with *Salmonella typhimurium* TA97, TA102 (II). *Ann Rep Tokyo Metr Res Lab PH*. 1987;38:423–30 [in Japanese].
21. Bandyopadhyay A, Ghoshal S, Mukherjee A. Genotoxicity testing of low-calorie sweeteners: aspartame, acesulfame-K, and saccharin. *Drug Chem Toxicol*. 2008;31:447–57.
22. WHO Food Additives Series 28. 1991. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v28je13.htm>
23. Ishidate M Jr, Takizawa Y, Sakabe Y, Ishizaki M, Watabe S, Tachi M, Takemoto K. Mutagenicity tests on food additives (series 9) - the collaborative study supported by the Ministry of Health and Welfare of Japan. *Toxicol Forum*. 1988;11:663–9 [in Japanese].
24. Fujita H, Aoki N, Sasaki M. Mutagenicity test of food additives with *Salmonella typhimurium* TA97, TA102 (IX). *Ann Rep Tokyo Metr Res Lab PH*. 1994;45:191–9 [in Japanese].
25. Fujita H, Sasaki M. Mutagenicity test of food additives with *Salmonella typhimurium* TA97, TA102 (V). *Ann Rep Tokyo Metr Res Lab PH*. 1990;41:315–22 [in Japanese].
26. WHO Food Additives Series 13. 1978. <http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v13je11.htm>
27. Fujita H, Sasaki M. Mutagenicity test of food additives with *Salmonella typhimurium* TA97a, TA102 (I). *Ann Rep Tokyo Metr Res Lab PH*. 1986;37: 447–52 [in Japanese].
28. Hachiya N, Takizawa Y, Kawamura T, Tateno N, Sakabe Y, Asanoma M, Noda M, Ishizaki M, Isibashi T, Kuroda K. Outline of the results of acute toxicity and various mutagenicity tests for natural additives (FY1981–FY 1983). *Toxicol Forum*. 1985;8:91–105 [in Japanese].
29. Fujita H, Kojima A, Sasaki M, Hiraga K. Mutagenicity test of antioxidants and fungicides with *Salmonella typhimurium* TA97a, TA102. *Ann Rep Tokyo Metr Res Lab PH*. 1985;36:413–7 [in Japanese].
30. Brusick D, Grotz VL, Slesinski R, Kruger CL, Hayes AW. The absence of genotoxicity of sucralose. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2010;48:3067–72.
31. Wild D, King M-T, Gocke E, Eckhardt K. Study of artificial flavouring substances for mutagenicity in the *Salmonella*/microsome. Basic and micronucleus tests. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 1983;21:707–19.